

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_122468</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Pruning and Micronutrient Sprays on Physico-chemical Properties of Ber</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work is meticulously executed and well-documented. Although it adheres to routine protocols, the experimental context lends a sense of originality. The manuscript underscores the significance of pruning and the application of micronutrients in ber cultivation, offering valuable insights for future research endeavors.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Information about the experiment's design and replications is not provided. It can be added to make it more comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study focused on analyzing the key biochemical characteristics of ber fruits, such as Total Soluble Solids (TSS), acidity, and sugars. The procedures adhered to established standard protocols. However, including data on the fruits' physical parameters would further enrich this manuscript from a technical perspective.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are issues with the English (syntax, grammar, etc.) throughout the manuscript. These must be addressed with the help of a native speaker or English language editing service. Some of the corrections have been made in track-change mode, which can be taken care of.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	All the comments mentioned in the comments boxes in the manuscript must be addressed.	

#### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mhetre Vishal Balasaheb</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>