

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122468
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Pruning and Micronutrient Sprays on Physico-chemical Properties of Ber
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article shows the importance of size and micronutrient pulverization on the physicochemical properties of tubers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary is acceptable	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	To this must be added the statistical analysis part	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think the authors need to do the statistical analyses before asserting or denying that the results are statistically different or not. The results need to be better discussed. The manuscript is therefore not technically robust.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references are fairly recent. However, some of them have been	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Comments to the author</p> <p>1. Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The introduction is brief and needs to be improved - The purpose and importance of the study should be emphasized in this section. Why is this tuber important? Are there other studies on this subject? If so, what is the difference between this research and others? Or is this a first in this field of study? These questions should be briefly included in the introduction. <p>2. Material and method</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please specify model, make and country for all laboratory equipment. - Review the formula for zinc sulfate in zinc sulfate (33%zn) - Enter dates for references - What is MES? - Didn't you do any statistical analysis? You should put the statistical analysis section after the materials and methods section. <p>3. Results</p> <p>3.1. Moisture content (%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Is it a tuber or a fruit? - What do CDp and NS mean? - How and with what software did you estimate the significance level, since you don't have the statistical analyses in your document? <p>3.2. TSS (°Brix)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Same comment as above for statistical analysis - Correct 14.61°Brix instead of 4.61 °Brix <p>3.3. Total sugar (%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - You don't discuss your results enough - Same comments as above for statistical analysis <p>3.6. Titratable acidity (%)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I don't understand this sentence: "Reducing size intensity significantly reduced titratable acidity in the present study". - You've conducted your study over two years, but you don't discuss how results vary from one year to the next. - Overall, you need to better discuss your results 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Barry Poussian Raymond</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Burkina Faso</p>