

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_122085</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of genetic diversity in garden pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) and identification of promising lines for hybridization</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Pea breeding is an important concept of plant breeding as it focuses on developing high-yielding, disease-resistant varieties that withstand environmental stress. The current study aims to explore genetic divergence to advance pea breeding efforts. That why I think this paper is going to help in future studies.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes, it is comprehensive</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>He/She has done an extensive work on pea breeding. The details given in the manuscript seems thorough and insightful. The concept of pea breeding is quite important and robust at this point of time. Hence according to me, this manuscript is technically sound.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Little bit correction is needed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nandini Saha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Veer Kunwar Singh College of Agriculture, India</b>