

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_121286
Title of the Manuscript:	Innovation in horticultural Practices, Enhancing crop quality and marketability
Type of the Article	Review Article

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The research is descriptive, and it reviews in a good way the innovation in horticultural practices, to address the increasing demand for food, and it also reviews marketing and its importance in reducing waste.</p> <p>It also addresses innovative agricultural methods and the challenges and opportunities related to the topic.</p> <p>The research is good and does not contain spelling or scientific errors.</p> <p>The sources are sufficient and modern.</p> <p>The research is suitable for publication and does not contain spelling or scientific errors, but we ask the researcher to do the following: - Write the recommendations in a detailed, clear and more comprehensive manner.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sarhan Ahmed Soliman
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Center, Egypt