

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122944
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF EXPOSURE AND ASSESSMENT OF DIVERSITY, ABUNDANCE OF INSECTS IN CABBAGE CROP USING YELLOW STICKY TRAPS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study demonstrates that yellow sticky traps (YSTs) are effective generalist samplers for monitoring insect diversity in cabbage ecosystems, particularly capturing herbivorous pests like whiteflies and aphids which helps to boost the yield of cabbage	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive but it don't include the objective of the study	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, approach of the study is scientific adopting traps were, placed in plastic boxes avoiding their overlap and transferred to the laboratory for observation. The traps were examined under a stereo-binocular microscope to count and identify the species of insects trapped. The data were tabulated according to different orders, families and functional groups of insects that were trapped in YSTs and subjected to analyses to find out the abundance and diversity of insects that were caught on 12 consecutive days in the cabbage ecosystem.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	They are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, with certain suggested omissions and commissions on grammar part	
Optional/General comments	The study is found to be an informative of work for different users around the world.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abrhaley Shelema
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia