

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_122705</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of the antifungal potential of macro-algae for controlling leaf spot of tuberose (<i>Polianthus tuberosa</i> L.) incited by <i>Alternaria polianthi</i></b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study of antifungal potential of macro algal extract on Tuberose is scientifically important. It can form the basis for future antifungal agents which can be more effective, efficient and eco-friendly.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It seems that the work is mainly done on only one macroalgae, i.e. <i>Sargassum myricosystem</i> , So, instead of mentioning study of macro-algae; <i>Sargassum</i> spp. should be mentioned in the title. Also instead of the word incited; infected word is more informative. So, the modified title suggestion is "Evaluation of the antifungal potential of <i>Sargassum myricocystum</i> for controlling leaf spot of tuberose ( <i>Polianthus tuberosa</i> L.) infected by <i>Alternaria polianthi</i> "	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	From the abstract following sentences should be deleted as they lack substantial experimental proof in this study.: This study highlights the potential of <i>S. myricocystum</i> as a sustainable and eco-friendly biocontrol agent in managing fungal diseases in ornamental plants.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript mentioned <i>S. cristaefolium</i> at some places in the methodology and discussion sections. But, it seems that the work is done and results are mentioned for <i>S. myricocystum</i> . This seems to be a major mistake in the mention of the species name. It also makes the manuscript and experiment performed scientifically incorrect. This needs to be considered and rectified appropriately.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are very few. Also ref no.1 and no.6 are incomplete. More relevant and recent references are need to be added.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are minor spelling mistakes and few sentence building mistakes, which need to be rectified.	
Optional/General comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amit Ashok Chhatre</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>