

Original Research Article

Assessment of physiological traits of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol) Standl) genotypes

Abstract

A study was conducted at the Vegetable Research Farm, Maharajpur, Department of Horticulture, JNKVV, Jabalpur. In this study, 23 genotypes of bottle gourds (6 parental lines, 15 diallel crosses, and 2 checks) were assessed for performance with an emphasis on physiological characteristics. The maximum leaf area index (11.17) and leaf area duration (241.26) were obtained for the genotype JBG-2 at 75 DAS and 60-75 DAS respectively. However, the maximum specific leaf area was recorded in Samrat-1 (2189.66). At 60 DAS, the highest specific leaf weight (0.90) of JBG-4×Pusa Naveen (2189.66) was recorded. The maximum chlorophyll content index was reported at 60 DAS for JBG-4×JBG-5 (53.88). At 60 DAS, JBG-2×Pusa Naveen (10.16) and JBG-3×Pusa Naveen (65.31%) had the highest energy interception and light transmission ratios, respectively. It is easier to choose genotypes with the best physiological attributes for increased growth and yield when one is aware of the relationships between these traits. For example, genotypes with high levels of LAI and LAD are probably more capable of photosynthetic processes, which improves overall performance.

Key words: Bottle Gourd, Genotypes, Physiological Characteristics, crop

Introduction:

In India bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol) Standl) is a major vegetable crop, during the **khari** and summer seasons. It belongs to the Cucurbitaceae family and has chromosome number $2n = 22$. It is a highly cross-pollinated crop due to its monoecious and andromonoecious nature (Swiander *et al.*, 1999). This plant is diploid, monoecious, climbs or prostrates, has solitary blooms, and is cross-pollinated (Sahu, 2016).

Bottle gourd fruit is a nutritious addition to the diet. The fruit makes a delicious supplement to the human diet, and 100 g of fruits contain nearly 96 g of water, 0.2 g of protein, 0.1 g of fat, 2.5 g of carbohydrate, 0.6 g of fiber, 0.5 g of minerals, 20 mg of

calcium, 10 mg of phosphorus, 0.7 mg of iron, 0.3 mg of thiamine, 0.01 mg of riboflavin, 0.2 mg of niacin, and 1.2 cal of energy. The seeds are good sources of lipids and proteins, containing 45% oil and 35% protein (Gaonkar *et al.*, 2023). **Bottle gourd** has several health advantages, including anti-cancer, cardio-protective, diuretic, aphrodisiac, antidote to poisons and scorpion stings, alternative purgative, and cooling properties (Badmanaban and Patel, 2010). Around the world, people cultivate bottle gourd as a substantial and advantageous crop for vegetable production. Previously, the assessment of its genotype was not considered crucial for its breeding status as an important vegetable crop because crops are susceptible to environmental factors, which can impact leaf area, yield, and growth (Chartzoulakis, 1994). Therefore, we studied physiological parameters such as leaf area and leaf area index, which are crucial in research on plant development, light interception, greenness duration, and specific growth responses. This has a significant impact on assimilation, fruit quality, fruit self-life, and nutrient fortification.

Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted at the Vegetable Research Centre, Maharajpur, Department of Horticulture, JNKVV, Jabalpur. The present study used 23 genotypes, including 15 crosses (Diallel crosses), 6 parental lines, and 2 checks (Table 1) laid out the experiment in a randomized block design with three replications. Each genotype were sown in each block at a row-to-row spacing of 3 m and 1 m plant-to-plant in a 6 m by 3 m plot, accommodating 12 plants per plot. The following **physiological traits** viz., leaf area index, leaf area duration, specific leaf area, specific leaf weight, chlorophyll content index (SPAD value), light transmission ratio, and energy interception had been taken to understand the physiological activities in the genotypes.

Table 1: Genotypes used in the physiological traits investigations

parents	Crosses	
1. JBG-1	1. JBG1×JBG2	10. JBG3×JBG4
2. JBG-2	2. JBG1×JBG3	11. JBG3×JBG5
3. JBG-3	3. JBG1×JBG4	12. JBG3×Pusa Naveen
4. JBG-4	4. JBG1×JBG5	13. JBG4×JBG5
5. JBG-5	5. JBG1×Pusa Naveen	14. JBG4×Pusa Naveen

6. Pusa Naveen	6. JBG2×JBG3	15. JBG5×Pusa Naveen
Checks	7. JBG2×JBG4	
1. Samrat-1 (hybrid)	8. JBG2×JBG5	
2. Anokhi (hybrid)	9. JBG2×Pusa Naveen	

Data analysis

Leaf area index (LAI)

As per the guidelines provided by Gardner et al. (1985), LAI is defined as the ratio of the leaf surface (one side only) to the ground area occupied by the plant or a crop stand.

$$\text{LAI} = \frac{\text{Total leaf area}}{\text{Land area}} \quad \text{LAI} = \frac{(LA_2 + LA_1)}{2/p}$$

Where;

LA₁ and LA₂ represent the leaf area during two consecutive intervals and the 'P' ground area.

Leaf area duration LAD

Leaf area duration measures how much leaf area—also known as leafiness—there is and how long it lasts throughout crop growth. It is related to yield and denotes the magnitude of the seasonal integral of light interaction (Watson, 1952).

$$\text{LAD} = \frac{(LA_2 + LA_1)}{2} \times (t_2 - t_1) \text{ (M}^2 \cdot \text{days)}$$

Where;

LA₁ and LA₂ represent the leaf area at two successive time intervals (t₁ and t₂).

SLA (Specific leaf area)

The ratio of leaf area (LA) to leaf dry weight (LW) is known as the specific leaf area. (Gardner *et al.*, 1985).

$$\text{SLA} = \frac{(LA_2/LW_2 + LA_1/LW_1)}{2} \text{ (M}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{)}$$

Where;

LA_1 and LA_2 are the leaf area and LW_1 and LW_2 is the dry weight of the leaf.

Specific leaf weight (SLW)

The ratio of leaf dry weight (LW) to leaf area (LA) reflects leaf thickness on a weight basis (Gardner *et al.*, 1985).

$$SLW = \frac{(LW_2/A_2 + LW_1 / LA_1)}{2} \text{ (M}^2\text{.g)}$$

Chlorophyll content index (CCI)

A chlorophyll content meter (Model: CCM 200, Made in USA) was used to measure the chlorophyll content index. The device immediately detects the index of chlorophyll concentration.

Light transmission ratio

A Lux-meter (Model LX-105) was used to measure the light intensity, incidence on the crop canopy surface, and infiltration profile inside the canopy at ground level. The LTR was determined using the methods provided by Golingai and Mabbayad's (1969)

$$LTR (\%) = \frac{I}{I_0} \times 100$$

Where;

"I" and " I_0 " refer to the light intensities at the ground level and incident on the plant respectively.

Energy interception (E_i)

It can be calculated by lux meter. Its values were converted in terms of energy as per constants.

E_i = total incident energy – transmitted energy

Result and discussion

Leaf area index

Among the genotypes the maximum LAI was recorded in genotype JBG-2 (11.17), which was statistically on par with JBG-4×JBG-5 (9.58) at 75 DAS and the minimum LAI was recorded in JBG-4×Pusa Naveen (5.18) at 75 DAS in table 2. The leaf area index was found to be increasing in bottle gourd and it was highest during the reproductive stages. Higher LAI values are often associated with increased canopy development, which provides more surface area for photosynthesis. The findings supported the conclusions of Ning *et al.* (2012), Mottaghian *et al.* (2010) and Gawale *et al.* (2023) in soybeans.

Leaf area duration

Among the genotypes maximum LAD was recorded in JBG-2 (241.26 M². Day) followed by JBG-4×JBG-5 (210.84 M². Day) and minimum LAD was recorded by the genotype JBG-4×Pusa Naveen (108.38 M². Day) at 60-75 DAS in table 2. Because of more LAD, the dry matter production is higher in JBG-2 and it may be attributed to more photosynthesis in the genotype. The findings supported the conclusions of Devendra Vasht (2016) and Gawale *et al.* (2023) in soybeans.

Specific leaf area

Among the genotypes maximum SLA was recorded in Samrat-1 (2189.66 M² Kg⁻¹) followed by JBG-3×JBG-4 (2100.15 M² Kg⁻¹) and minimum SLA was recorded by the genotype JBG-4×Pusa Naveen (558.85 M² Kg⁻¹) at 60 DAS in table 2. The reduction in SLA is associated with an increase in leaf thickness. It is determined by the thickness of the constituent cell tissues, such as upper and lower epidermal cells, and palisade tissue. At low light intensity, the plants were only able to develop one layer of palisade tissue while at high light intensity, the plants were able to develop two layers of palisade tissue. Palisade tissue contains a lot of chloroplasts which are very important in increasing the efficiency of photosynthesis (Sundari *et al.* 2008). The results showed that the thickness of the leaf tissue was influenced by light intensity, at high light intensity, the leaf tissue was thicker than at low light intensity (Aragao *et al.* 2014). The findings supported the conclusions of Wahyuningsih *et al.* (2021) in soybeans.

Specific leaf weight

Among the genotypes maximum SLW was recorded in JBG-4×Pusa Naveen (0.90 M². g) followed by JBG-5 (0.64 M². g) and minimum SLW was recorded by the genotype Samrat-1 (0.23 M². g) at 60 DAS in table 2. Genotypes with greater SLW may be more suited to tolerate environmental challenges such as drought or nutrient constraints due to their strong leaf structure. Thicker leaves with higher SLW can help minimize water loss and increase water use efficiency (Sanchez *et al*; 1983). Studying SLW assists in identifying genotypes suitable for various growth scenarios. For example, high SLW genotypes may perform better in stressful situations, whereas low SLW genotypes might do well in favorable environments (Wright *et al*; 2004).

Chlorophyll content index

The maximum value for SPAD was recorded in the genotype JBG-4×JBG-5 (53.88) which was found to be statistically on par with JBG-4×Pusa Naveen (51.70), whereas the minimum was recorded in JBG2×JBG4 (31.42) at 60 DAS in table 2. The chlorophyll content varies with the nitrogen content in the plant as nitrogen is an important element in the formation of chlorophyll pigment (Ghosh *et al.*, 2000). The findings supported the conclusions of Rashid *et al.* (2020) and Gawale *et al.* (2023) in bottle gourd.

Light transmission ratio

Among the genotypes maximum LTR was recorded in JBG-3×Pusa Naveen (65.31%) followed by JBG-4×JBG-5 (58.70%) and minimum LTR was recorded by the genotype JBG3×JBG5 (39.88%) at 60 DAS in table 2. The better use of resources can be supported by observations on LTR, which showed significant difference due to different genotypes. The higher light interception in JBG-3×Pusa Naveen was due to quick growth and good vegetative cover, which helped in the better interception of light. This was due to better spatial use of light by the leaf canopy or root system, which might have made better spatial use of nutrients and water. It is also clear from the investigation that, the yield advantage in JBG-3×Pusa Naveen was due to better overall use of resources than when crops were grown (Udhaya and Latha; 2015).

Energy Interception ($\text{cal cm}^{-2} \text{min}^{-1}$)

Among the genotypes maximum Energy Interception was recorded in JBG2×Pusa Naveen ($10.16 \text{ cal cm}^{-2} \text{min}^{-1}$) followed by Pusa Naveen ($9.26 \text{ cal cm}^{-2} \text{min}^{-1}$) and minimum Energy Interception was recorded by the genotype JBG3×JBG5 ($5.53 \text{ cal cm}^{-2} \text{min}^{-1}$) at 60 DAS in table 2. Genotypes with higher energy interception often have superior growth and production because of more efficient use of available lighting. Understanding and choosing genotypes based on energy interception capabilities may help breeding initiatives targeted at increasing fruit yield (Muller *et al*; 2001).

Conclusion

The study concluded that the genotype JBG-2 exhibited the highest LAI and LAD, indicating superior photosynthetic capability. JBG-4×Pusa Naveen showed the highest SLW, while JBG-4×JBG-5 had the greatest chlorophyll content index. High energy interception and light transmission ratio were observed in JBG-2×Pusa Naveen and JBG-3×Pusa Naveen, respectively. These findings highlight the potential of selecting genotypes with superior physiological attributes to enhance growth and yield in bottle gourd.

Table: 2 Study of bottle gourd genotypes for physiological traits

Genotypes	LAI	LAD	SLA	SLW	CCI	LTR	EI
	75 DAS	(60-75 DAS)					
JBG-1	7.04	146.37	1593.12	0.31	46.71	54.67	8.27
JBG-2	11.17	241.26	1717.94	0.29	47.49	58.27	7.42
JBG-3	8.62	183.30	1473.63	0.34	45.32	54.56	7.96
JBG-4	8.18	173.45	1430.99	0.35	35.67	50.48	7.31
JBG-5	8.35	177.74	783.95	0.64	46.57	48.12	7.73
Pusa Naveen	9.51	204.95	1481.02	0.34	51.02	50.29	9.26
JBG-1×JBG-2	8.65	179.31	1180.79	0.42	38.03	48.93	8.65
JBG-1×JBG-3	8.65	182.32	952.52	0.53	39.53	49.61	8.76
JBG-1×JBG-4	9.14	189.64	1252.21	0.40	35.11	54.46	8.07
JBG-1×JBG-5	6.49	138.89	1259.20	0.40	36.04	54.52	5.81
JBG-1×Pusa Naveen	9.84	208.13	1051.31	0.48	33.57	48.00	7.38
JBG2×JBG3	8.19	176.47	1166.93	0.43	36.49	51.36	7.54
JBG2×JBG4	8.96	192.59	969.18	0.52	31.42	56.27	8.00
JBG2×JBG5	8.91	195.50	1056.02	0.47	38.17	46.59	8.78
JBG2×Pusa Naveen	8.68	185.65	1062.11	0.47	37.45	44.59	10.16
JBG3×JBG4	9.08	194.56	2100.15	0.24	47.93	54.25	8.53
JBG3×JBG5	6.98	148.59	1124.68	0.45	40.92	39.88	5.99
JBG3×Pusa Naveen	8.49	181.69	941.14	0.53	49.57	65.31	5.53
JBG4×JBG5	9.58	210.84	1012.47	0.50	53.88	58.70	5.86
JBG4×Pusa Naveen	5.18	108.38	558.85	0.90	51.70	48.49	7.51
JBG5×Pusa Naveen	9.17	197.24	1646.97	0.30	46.88	46.09	8.95
Samrat-1 (Check-1)	8.33	179.11	2189.66	0.23	38.49	46.87	9.16
Anokhi (Check-2)	8.36	178.84	1335.97	0.38	39.87	49.19	8.12
Mean	8.50	181.51	1275.69	0.43	42.08	51.28	7.86
S. E.	0.29	5.87	51.38	0.02	1.06	0.47	0.08
C.D.	0.83	16.72	146.45	0.05	3.02	1.33	0.23
C.V. @ 5%	5.94	5.60	6.98	7.47	4.36	1.57	1.78

LAI-Leaf Area Index, LAD-Leaf Area Duration, SLA-Specific leaf area, SLW-Specific leaf weight, CCI-Chlorophyll content index, LTR-Light transmission ratio, EI-Energy Interception

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

References

1. Aragao DS, Lunz A, de Oliveira LC, Fermino Jr. PCP. Effect of shading on leaf anatomy of young plants of Andiroba (*Carapa guianensis* Aubl.). *Revista Árvore*. 2014; 38(4):631-639.
2. Badmanaban R, Patel CN. Studies on anthelmintic and antimicrobial activity of the leaf extracts of [*Lagenaria siceraria*]. *Journal of Global Pharma Technology*. 2010; 4:66-70.
3. Chartzoulakis KS. Photosynthesis, water relations and leaf growth of cucumber exposed to salt stress. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 1994; v.59, p.27- 35.
4. Devendra Vasht. Evaluation of physiological efficiency, growth and productivity of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) genotypes; c2016.
5. Golingai SA, Mabbayad BB. Plant arrangement and spacing study on C₂- 63 and IR (68) rice varieties. *The Phillipine Agri*. 1969; 52:566-577.
6. Gaonkar VV, Bahadur V, Topno SE, Kerketta A. Performance of Bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* L.) genotypes for growth, yield and quality under Prayagraj agro-climatic condition. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2023;12(5): 3339-3343.
7. Gardner FP, Pearce RB, Mitchell R. *Physiology of Crop Plants*. – The Iowa State University Press, Iowa; 1985.
8. Gawale AV, Bhalerao AS, Bende TS, Pawar GS. Study of Soybean genotypes to examine the genotypic Variation in physiological growth parameters. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2023; 12(1):844-847.
9. Ghosh M, Pal AK, De DK. Relationship of leaf area and chlorophyll content with yield and aromatic rice. *Indian Journal*. 2000; 8(2): 199-200.
10. Rashid M, Hussain K, Hussain SM, Farwah S, Javeed I, Sultan A, Azrah S, Akhter A, Ahmad M, Maqbool S. Comparative Performance of Various Bottle Gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.] Genotypes. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2020; 9(6):371-375.
11. Sahu L. Genetic diversity, heritability and agromorphological characterization in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.]. M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Department of Vegetable Science, IGKV, Raipur (Chhattisgarh). 2016; 13(2):248-253.
12. Sundari T, Soemartono, Tohari, Mangoendidjojo W. Anatomi daun kacang hijau genotipe toleran dan sensitif naungan leaf anatomy of tolerant and sensitive mungbean genotypes to shading. – *Buletin Agronomi*. 2008; 36(3):221-228.
13. Swiander JM, Ware GW, Maccollum JP. *Vegetable crops*. Interstate Publishers. 1999; 323-340.
14. Udhaya ND, Latha KR. Analysis of light transmission ratio and yield advantages of pigeonpea in relation to intercrop and different plant population. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2015; 10(8):731-736.
15. Sanchez RA, Hall AJ, Trapani N, de la Fuente EB. Effect of water stress on the distribution of leaf area and productivity in field-grown maize. *Field Crops Research*. 1983; 7(1):271-279.
16. Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. *Nature*. 2004; 428(6985):821-827.
17. Muller J, Wernecke P. Leaf area index and light interception of field-grown cucumber under different plant densities. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2001; 90(3-4):215-226.
18. Mottaghian A, Pirdashti H, Bahmanyar MA. Dry matter accumulation and physiological indices of two soybean cultivars in response to enriched sewage sludge compost. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. 2010; 8(5):578-588.

19. Ning Liu Guo, Chun Wu Yang, KeZhang Xu, Zhian Zhang, DaYong Li, ZhiHai Wu. Development of yield and some photosynthetic characteristics during 82 years of genetic improvement of soybean genotypes in northeast China. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*. 2012; 6(10):1416-1422.
20. Wahyuningsih S, Sundari T, Sutrisno, Harnowo D, Harsono A, Soehendi R, Mejaya MJ. Growth and Productivity of Soybean (*Glycine Max* (L.) Merr.) Genotypes Under Shading. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*. 2021; 19(5):3377-3392.
21. Watson DJ. Physiological basis of varieties in yield. *Advance in Agronomy*. 1952; 4:101-145.

UNDER PEER REVIEW