

## Original Research Article

### Assessment of physiological traits of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol) Standl) genotypes

#### Abstract

A study was carried out at the Vegetable Research Farm, Maharajpur, Department of Horticulture, JNKVV, Jabalpur. In this study, 23 genotypes of bottle gourds (6 parental lines, 15 diallel crosses, and 2 checks) were assessed for performance with an emphasis on physiological characteristics. The maximum leaf area index (11.17) and leaf area duration (241.26) was obtained for the genotype JBG-2 at 75 DAS and 60-75 DAS respectively. However, the maximum specific leaf area was recorded in Samrat-1 (2189.66). At 60 DAS, the highest specific leaf weight (0.90) of JBG-4×Pusa Naveen (2189.66) was recorded. The maximum chlorophyll content index was reported at 60 DAS for JBG-4×JBG-5 (53.88). At 60 DAS, JBG-2×Pusa Naveen (10.16) and JBG-3×Pusa Naveen (65.31%) had the highest energy interception and light transmission ratios, respectively. It is easier to choose genotypes with the best physiological attributes for increased growth and yield when one is aware of the relationships between these traits. For example, genotypes with high levels of LAI and LAD are probably more capable of photosynthetic processes, which improves overall performance. Likewise, characteristics such as increased CCI and SLW could indicate outstanding resilience and photosynthetic health, all of which are essential for crop improvement initiatives.

Commented [mt1]: Check grammar

Commented [mt2]: in

#### Introduction:

In India Bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol) Standl) is a major vegetable crop, during both the rainy and summer seasons. It belongs to the Cucurbitaceae family and has chromosome number  $2n = 22$ . It is a highly cross-pollinated crop due to its monoecious and andromonoecious nature (Swiander *et al.*, 1999). This plant is diploid, monoecious, climbs or prostrates, has solitary blooms, and is cross-pollinated (Sahu, 2016).

Commented [mt3]: There are several typographical and grammatical errors throughout the text that hinder readability. A thorough proofreading is recommended to correct these mistakes. Some technical terms and abbreviations are used without being defined on first use, which could confuse readers unfamiliar with the terminology.

Commented [mt4]: Specify the seasons. Rainy season comes under summer season

Bottleguard fruit is a nutritious addition to the diet. The fruit makes a delicious supplement to the human diet, and 100 g of fruits contain nearly 96 g of water, 0.2 g of protein, 0.1 g of fat, 2.5 g of carbohydrate, 0.6 g of fiber, 0.5 g of minerals, 20 mg of calcium, 10 mg of phosphorus, 0.7 mg of iron, 0.3 mg of thiamine, 0.01 mg of riboflavin, 0.2 mg of niacin, and 1.2 cal of energy. The seeds are good sources of lipids and proteins, containing 45% oil and 35% protein (Gaonkar *et al.*, 2023; Ogunbusola, 2018). According to humans, bottle gourd has several health advantages, including anti-cancer, cardio-protective, diuretic, aphrodisiac, antidote to poisons and scorpion stings, alternative purgative, and cooling properties (Badmanaban and Patel, 2010). Around the world, people cultivate bottle gourd as a substantial and advantageous crop for vegetable production. Previously, the assessment of its genotype was not considered crucial for its breeding status as an important vegetable crop due to the fact that crops are susceptible to environmental factors, which can impact leaf area, yield, and growth (Chartzoulakis, 1994). Therefore, we studied physiological parameters such as leaf area and leaf area index, which are crucial in research on plant development, light interception, greenness duration, and specific growth responses. This has a significant impact on assimilation, fruit quality, fruit self-life, and nutrient fortification.

**Commented [mt5]:** Spelling check

**Commented [mt6]:** Provide scientific proof instead of referring "According to humans"

### Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted at the Vegetable Research Centre, Maharajpur, Department of Horticulture, JNKVV, Jabalpur. For the present investigation, the experimental materials consisted of 23 genotypes. The present study used 23 genotypes, including 15 crosses (Diallel crosses), 6 parental lines, and 2 checks (Table 1) laid out the experiment in a randomized block design with three replications. Each genotype were sown in each block at a row-to-row spacing of 3 m and 1 m plant-to-plant in a 6 m by 3 m plot, accommodating 12 plants per plot. The following characteristics viz., leaf area index, leaf area duration, specific leaf area, specific leaf weight, chlorophyll content index (SPAD value), light transmission ratio, and energy interference had been taken to understand the physiological activities in the genotypes.

**Commented [mt7]:** Include how these parameters were calculated. The statistical methods used for analyzing the data are not described. Providing details such as the types of tests used for comparison and the significance levels would be beneficial.

**Table 1: Genotypes used in investigations**

parents	Crosses
---------	---------

1. JBG-1	1. JBG1×JBG2	10. JBG3×JBG4
2. JBG-2	2. JBG1×JBG3	11. JBG3×JBG5
3. JBG-3	3. JBG1×JBG4	12. JBG3×Pusa Naveen
4. JBG-4	4. JBG1×JBG5	13. JBG4×JBG5
5. JBG-5	5. JBG1×Pusa Naveen	14. JBG4×Pusa Naveen
6. Pusa Naveen	6. JBG2×JBG3	15. JBG5×Pusa Naveen
<b>Checks</b>	7. JBG2×JBG4	
1. Samrat-1 (hybrid)	8. JBG2×JBG5	
2. Anokhi (hybrid)	9. JBG2×Pusa Naveen	

## Result and discussion

### Leaf area index

Among the genotypes the maximum LAI was recorded in genotype JBG-2 (11.17), which was statistically on par with JBG-4×JBG-5 (9.58) at 75 DAS and the minimum LAI was recorded in JBG-4×Pusa Naveen (5.18) at 75 DAS. The leaf area index was found to be increasing in bottle gourd and it was highest during the reproductive stages. Higher LAI values are often associated with increased canopy development, which provides more surface area for photosynthesis. This can lead to larger biomass accumulation and possibly more effective fruit production in cucumber (Marcelis *et al.*: 1998).

### Leaf area duration

Among the genotypes maximum LAD was recorded in JBG-2 (241.26 M<sup>2</sup>. Day) followed by JBG-4×JBG-5 (210.84 M<sup>2</sup>. Day) and minimum LAD was recorded by the genotype JBG-4×Pusa Naveen (108.38 M<sup>2</sup>. Day) at 60-75 DAS. Because of more LAD, the dry matter production is higher in JBG-2 and it may be attributed to more photosynthesis in the genotype. Marcelis *et al.* (1998) found that optimum light interception and consequent fruit output required continuous and effective leaf area (high LAD). They observed that maintaining leaf area throughout the growing season promotes constant photosynthetic activity in cucumber.

**Commented [mt8]:** Some references seem outdated or irrelevant to the study's specific focus. Updating the literature review to include more recent studies could provide a better context for the findings and demonstrate the manuscript's relevance to current research trends.

**Commented [mt9]:** Discussing the table explicitly would help clarify the findings. There are instances where significant findings are mentioned without corresponding statistical evidence. It is recommended to include p-values or confidence intervals where claims of significance are made.

**Commented [mt10]:** Include recent references in all the discussion. Tying the discussion more closely to the specific results presented would enhance the manuscript's credibility.

### Specific leaf area

Among the genotypes maximum SLA was recorded in Samrat-1 (2189.66 M<sup>2</sup> Kg<sup>-1</sup>) followed by JBG-3×JBG-4 (2100.15 M<sup>2</sup> Kg<sup>-1</sup>) and minimum SLA was recorded by the genotype JBG-4×Pusa Naveen (558.85 M<sup>2</sup> Kg<sup>-1</sup>) at 60 DAS. The reduction in SLA is associated with an increase in leaf thickness. It is determined by the thickness of the constituent cell tissues, such as upper and lower epidermal cells, and palisade tissue. At low light intensity, the plants were only able to develop one layer of palisade tissue while at high light intensity, the plants were able to develop two layers of palisade tissue (Sundari *et al.*, 2008). Palisade tissue contains a lot of chloroplasts which are very important in increasing the efficiency of photosynthesis. The results showed that the thickness of the leaf tissue was influenced by light intensity, which at high light intensity, the leaf tissue was thicker than at low light intensity Aragao *et al.* (2014).

### Specific leaf weight

Among the genotypes maximum SLW was recorded in JBG-4×Pusa Naveen (0.90 M<sup>2</sup>. g) followed by JBG-5 (0.64 M<sup>2</sup>. g) and minimum SLW was recorded by the genotype Samrat-1 (0.23 M<sup>2</sup>. g) at 60 DAS. Genotypes with greater SLW may be more suited to tolerate environmental challenges such as drought or nutrient constraints due to their strong leaf structure. Thicker leaves with higher SLW can help minimize water loss and increase water use efficiency (Sanchez *et al.*; 1983). Studying SLW assists in identifying genotypes suitable for various growth scenarios. For example, high SLW genotypes may perform better in stressful situations, whereas low SLW genotypes might do well in favorable environments (Wright *et al.*; 2004).

### Chlorophyll content index

The maximum value for SPAD was recorded in the genotype JBG-4×JBG-5 (53.88) which was found to be statistically on par with JBG-4×Pusa Naveen (51.70), whereas the minimum was recorded in JBG2×JBG4 (31.42) at 60 DAS. The chlorophyll content varies with the nitrogen content in the plant as nitrogen is an important element in the formation of chlorophyll pigment (Ghosh *et al.*, 2000). The high chlorophyll content might have increased the photosynthate production and their translocation to developing fruits, thereby increasing the fruit yield. (Bange and Milroy, 2004).

### Light transmission ratio

Commented [mt11]: This discussion is irrelevant here

Among the genotypes maximum LTR was recorded in JBG-3×Pusa Naveen (65.31%) followed by JBG-4×JBG-5 (58.70%) and minimum LTR was recorded by the genotype JBG3×JBG5 (39.88%) at 60 DAS. The better use of resources can be supported by observations on LTR, which showed significant difference due to different genotypes. The higher light interception in JBG-3×Pusa Naveen was due to quick growth and good vegetative cover, which helped in the better interception of light. This was due to better spatial use of light by the leaf canopy or root system, which might have made better spatial use of nutrients and water. It is also clear from the investigation that, the yield advantage in JBG-3×Pusa Naveen was due to better overall use of resources than when crops were grown (Udhaya and Latha; 2015).

#### **Energy Interception (cal cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>)**

Among the genotypes maximum Energy Interception was recorded in JBG2×Pusa Naveen (10.16 cal cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) followed by Pusa Naveen (9.26 cal cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) and minimum Energy Interception was recorded by the genotype JBG3×JBG5 (5.53 cal cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) at 60 DAS. Genotypes with higher energy interception often have superior growth and production because of more efficient use of available lighting. Understanding and choosing genotypes based on energy interception capabilities may help breeding initiatives targeted at increasing fruit yield (Muller *et al*; 2001).

#### **Conclusion**


The study concluded that the genotype JBG-2 exhibited the highest LAI and LAD, indicating superior photosynthetic capability. JBG-4×Pusa Naveen showed the highest SLW, while JBG-4×JBG-5 had the greatest chlorophyll content index. High energy interception and light transmission ratio were observed in JBG-2×Pusa Naveen and JBG-3×Pusa Naveen, respectively. These findings highlight the potential of selecting genotypes with superior physiological attributes to enhance growth and yield in bottle gourd.

**Table: 2 Study of bottle gourd genotypes for physiological traits**

Genotypes	LAI	LAD	SLA	SLW	CCI	LTR	EI
	75 DAS	(60-75 DAS)					
JBG-1	7.04	146.37	1593.12	0.31	46.71	54.67	8.27
JBG-2	11.17	241.26	1717.94	0.29	47.49	58.27	7.42
JBG-3	8.62	183.30	1473.63	0.34	45.32	54.56	7.96
JBG-4	8.18	173.45	1430.99	0.35	35.67	50.48	7.31
JBG-5	8.35	177.74	783.95	0.64	46.57	48.12	7.73
Pusa Naveen	9.51	204.95	1481.02	0.34	51.02	50.29	9.26
JBG-1×JBG-2	8.65	179.31	1180.79	0.42	38.03	48.93	8.65
JBG-1×JBG-3	8.65	182.32	952.52	0.53	39.53	49.61	8.76
JBG-1×JBG-4	9.14	189.64	1252.21	0.40	35.11	54.46	8.07
JBG-1×JBG-5	6.49	138.89	1259.20	0.40	36.04	54.52	5.81
JBG-1×Pusa Naveen	9.84	208.13	1051.31	0.48	33.57	48.00	7.38
JBG2×JBG3	8.19	176.47	1166.93	0.43	36.49	51.36	7.54
JBG2×JBG4	8.96	192.59	969.18	0.52	31.42	56.27	8.00
JBG2×JBG5	8.91	195.50	1056.02	0.47	38.17	46.59	8.78
JBG2×Pusa Naveen	8.68	185.65	1062.11	0.47	37.45	44.59	10.16
JBG3×JBG4	9.08	194.56	2100.15	0.24	47.93	54.25	8.53
JBG3×JBG5	6.98	148.59	1124.68	0.45	40.92	39.88	5.99
JBG3×Pusa Naveen	8.49	181.69	941.14	0.53	49.57	65.31	5.53
JBG4×JBG5	9.58	210.84	1012.47	0.50	53.88	58.70	5.86
JBG4×Pusa Naveen	5.18	108.38	558.85	0.90	51.70	48.49	7.51
JBG5×Pusa Naveen	9.17	197.24	1646.97	0.30	46.88	46.09	8.95
Samrat-1 (Check-1)	8.33	179.11	2189.66	0.23	38.49	46.87	9.16
Anokhi (Check-2)	8.36	178.84	1335.97	0.38	39.87	49.19	8.12
Mean	8.50	181.51	1275.69	0.43	42.08	51.28	7.86
S. E.	0.29	5.87	51.38	0.02	1.06	0.47	0.08
C.D.	0.83	16.72	146.45	0.05	3.02	1.33	0.23
C.V. @ 5%	5.94	5.60	6.98	7.47	4.36	1.57	1.78

LAI-Leaf Area Index, LAD-Leaf Area Duration, SLA-Specific leaf area, SLW-Specific leaf weight, CCI-Chlorophyll content index, LTR-Light transmission ratio, EI-Energy Interception

UNDER PEER REVIEW



## References

1. Aragao DS, Lunz A, de Oliveira LC, Fermino Jr. PCP. Effect of shading on leaf anatomy of young plants of Andiroba (*Carapa guianensis* Aubl.). *Revista Árvore*. 2014; 38(4):631-639.
2. Anonymous. Area and production of bottle gourd in Jammu and Kashmir. Department of Agriculture, J&K Government. 2018; pp-226.
3. Bange MP, Milroy SP. Growth and dry matter partitioning of diverse cotton genotypes. *Field Crop Research*. 2004; 87(1):73-87.
4. Chartzoulakis KS. Photosynthesis, water relations and leaf growth of cucumber exposed to salt stress. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 1994; v.59, p.27- 35.
5. Swiander JM, Ware GW, Maccollum JP. *Vegetable crops*. Interstate Publishers. 1999; 323-340.
6. Gaonkar VV, Bahadur V, Topno SE, Kerketta A. Performance of Bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* L.) genotypes for growth, yield and quality under Prayagraj agro-climatic condition. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2023;12(5): 3339-3343.
7. Badmanaban R, Patel CN. Studies on anthelmintic and antimicrobial activity of the leaf extracts of [*Lagenaria siceraria*]. *Journal of Global Pharma Technology*. 2010; 4:66-70.
8. Sahu L. Genetic diversity, heritability and agromorphological characterization in bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.]. M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Department of Vegetable Science, IGKV, Raipur (Chhattisgarh). 2016; 13(2):248-253.
9. Upananlawar A, Balaraman R. Protective effects of [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina)] fruit juice in isoproterenol induced myocardial infarction *International Journal of Pharmacology*. 2010; 5:645-651.
10. Ghosh M, Pal AK, De DK. Relationship of leaf area and chlorophyll content with yield and aromatic rice. *Indian Journal*. 2000; 8(2): 199-200.
11. Udhaya ND, Latha KR. Analysis of light transmission ratio and yield advantages of pigeonpea in relation to intercrop and different plant population. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2015; 10(8):731-736.
12. Marcelis LFM, Heuvelink E, Goudriaan J. Modelling biomass production and yield of horticultural crops: a review. *Scientia Horticulturae* 1998; 74(1-2):83-111.
13. Sanchez RA, Hall AJ, Trapani N, de la Fuente EB. Effect of water stress on the distribution of leaf area and productivity in field-grown maize. *Field Crops Research*. 1983; 7(1):271-279.
14. Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. *Nature*. 2004; 428(6985); 821-827.
15. Muller J, Wernecke P. Leaf area index and light interception of field-grown cucumber under different plant densities. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2001; 90(3-4):215-226.