

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122730
Title of the Manuscript:	Genomic Innovations in Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.): A Comprehensive Review of Recent Developments and Future Directions
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes this article is important for scientific community because this article explained the use of CRISPER technology for the improvement of plant through molecular breeding	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its cover overall points	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is appropriate but i have to suggest to add one more subsection of Mechanism of CRISPER-CAS9 technology	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Mechanism of CRISPER-CAS9 technology should be include after introduction	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Plases include Mechanism of CRISPER-CAS9 technology should be include after introduction in the article English quality is good	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pradip Vitthal Hirapure
Department, University & Country	LIT RTM Nagpur University, RTM Nagpur University, India