

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_122049</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Genotypic Variation in Plant Height, Branching, and Yield Components of Field Pea: Implications for Crop Improvement</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Field or dry pea grain legumes are a primary source of protein for human consumption and livestock feed all over the world. They're adapted to cool and semiarid climates, so these studies select suitable genotypes in specific locations that can produce the highest-performing genotypes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	My Suggestion on the title: Studie on genetic variations on Morphological, physiological, yield and yield related traits of Field Pea	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its ok as the journal guidelines but some grammatically error,	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Its ok as the journal guidelines	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	International SI units: Please change gram in kilogram and centimetre in meter and ignore underlines under each subheading. The overall writing technically correct and scientifically motivated on the indicated genotypes on these studies of biological results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It can be arranged journal guidelines numbers indicated in the body of the manuscript, instead of listing the authors and publishing years.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is Ok but some modifications grammatically.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Melkam Anteneh Alemu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopia</b>