

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_122131
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Azotobacter, Trichoderma with Chemical Fertilizers on Wheat Yield and Economics in Gwalior Region
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article provides new information or validates findings relevant to the field of study, helping to consolidate knowledge on the specific topic.</p> <p>The article addresses the efficiency of treatments or techniques that improve productivity or reduce costs, it can have a significant impact on management practices or decision-making by producers and technicians.</p> <p>The article can provide data and analysis that inform public policy or sustainable practices, especially if it addresses topics such as natural resource management, environmental preservation, or improvements in economically important crops. This connects to broader goals, such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The use of periods and commas in the sentence "Different combinations of 50%. 75%, and 100% recommended doses fertilizers..."</p> <p>Consider standardizing the way the term "recommended doses of fertilizers" is presented throughout the text to maintain consistency.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Introduction: It might be useful to make a more explicit connection between the environmental problems and the solution proposed by the study.</p> <p>Material and methods: The presentation of treatments could be clearer, perhaps using a table to highlight the different treatment combinations.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Consider clearly separating Results from Discussion to improve clarity. Interpretation of results should be deepened to better connect the obtained data with existing literature and practical implications. Ensure that all data and values presented in the tables are consistently discussed in the text.</p> <p>Conclusion: Reinforce how the results may impact agricultural practices and fertilization policies in the studied region. Adding suggestions for future research may provide additional direction for related studies.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates a solid scientific basis, evidenced by the application of an appropriate experimental design, using the Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications and ten different treatments.</p> <p>The detailed and well-defined methodology, including the combination of microbial inoculants with chemical fertilizers, allows an accurate assessment of the effects on wheat productivity and economic analysis.</p> <p>The correct statistical analysis and the appropriate interpretation of the results ensure the validity and reliability of the findings.</p> <p>The study is based on relevant and current literature, which reinforces the technical robustness and relevance of the results for sustainable agricultural practices.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but needs improvements and adjustments in some small points.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good paper. Well this is probably a paper from a young researcher. I made comments directly on the draft. He/She should focus to explain his/her results and formation of text.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juliano Borela Magalhaes
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), Brasil