

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_122131
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Azotobacter, Trichoderma with Chemical Fertilizers on Wheat Yield and Economics in Gwalior Region
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research idea is good, but it requires some basic components of scientific research	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The effect of combination of Azotobacter and Trichoderma bacteria and chemical fertilizers on wheat yield in Gwalior region (economically).	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There is an amendment within the paper	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There is an amendment within the paper	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is ok but needs a good review	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There is an amendment within the paper	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nothing	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Amrajaa Abdulraziq
Department, University & Country	Libya