

**Behavioural response of seven-spotted ladybird beetle, *Coccinella septempunctata* (L.)  
(Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) towards different aphids and their host plants**

**Abstract**

The current study investigates the olfactory response of the predatory beetle, *Coccinella septempunctata* to various host plants and their associated aphids under laboratory conditions. Using a four-arm olfactometer, the beetles' attraction to healthy and aphid-infested mustard, wheat, rose, and chrysanthemum was evaluated. The results revealed that *C. septempunctata* showed a significantly higher attraction to aphid-infested plants compared to healthy plants or aphids alone. Among the treatments, mustard infested with *Lipaphis erysimi*, wheat infested with *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, rose infested with *Macrosiphum rosae*, and chrysanthemum infested with *Macrosiphoniella sanborni* elicited the strongest responses. These findings support the hypothesis that predatory coccinellids are more attracted to the olfactory cues emitted by aphid-infested plants, likely due to the release of semiochemicals in response to herbivore damage. This behavior enhances the effectiveness of *C. septempunctata* as a biological control agent by improving its ability to locate prey in agricultural and ornamental ecosystems.

**Commented [DR1]:** Mention the laboratory conditions (temperature and RH)

**Commented [DR2]:** Authority names at the initial scientific names

**Commented [DR3]:** Combine both. Agricultural ecosystem

**Keywords:** *Coccinella septempunctata*, aphid, olfactometer, response, semiochemicals

**Introduction**

In India, the consumption of insecticides against insect pests is increasing day by day, resulting in the development of resistance and many harmful effects on the environment (Abrol and Shankar, 2014). Around 98% of sprayed pesticides reach different places other than target species, which includes non-target species, water, bottom sediments, air and food (Miller, 2004). Due to lack of proper technical information about the use of insecticides, their chemical content, and the amount of spray required in controlling the pests, these enhance the pressure on people's health and the health of soil. Furthermore, continuation of pesticide usage results in loss of natural enemies, which in turn might result in secondary pest outbreak (Miller, 2004). In our current situation, it is very important to develop an organic-based agriculture system that helps in increasing land productivity and environmental safety to improve the sustainable agro-ecosystem. Consequently, we need a noble and eco-friendly approach to maintain a balance between the human need for food and the sustainability of

**Commented [DR4]:** Utilization or, use of

**Commented [DR5]:** applied

our environment. Research on safe, long lasting, and successful pest management systems has mostly focused on usage of effective natural enemies as a replacement for harmful pesticides (Lewis, 1997). Among the different biological control agents, coccinellids are one of the potential remedies in pest management plans with good host range (Nelaballe and Beula, 2015). Predaceous coccinellids are more often linked to biological control than taxa of other predatory organisms (Hodek and Honek, 1996a; Jervos and Kidd, 1996). The coccinellids belong to the coccinellidae family of the order Coleoptera. More than 6000 species of coccinellids are recorded all over the world (Vandenberg, 2002), of which described species are around 5200 (Hawkeswood, 1987). Around 400 coccinellid species have been reported from the Indian subcontinent (Poorani, 2012). About 90% of Coccinellid species are predatory in nature and they feed on phytophagous insects of agricultural, horticultural and forestry species like aphids, whiteflies, mites, psyllids, plant hoppers, coccids, pseudococcids, thrips, eggs and larvae of other insects (Omkar and Pervez, 2002; Evans, 2009).

Beneficial insects are sensitive to chemical aspects of the multitrophic environment, particularly with regard to host location Poppy (1997) and can learn to associate plant volatiles in presence of prey/host (Drukker *et al.*, 2000) Generally, plant leaves release some minor quantities of volatile compounds, but if that plant is attacked or damaged by insects, this phenomenon of releasing compounds is enhanced and releases a major quantity of compounds (Reddy, 2012). These beneficial insects show a response to the volatiles that are released from the plants after the damage caused by herbivores (Turlings *et al.*, 1990). Entomophagous insects use different chemical clues emitted from their host plants alone, or in host plant association with pests, to locate prey in their natural habitat (Vet and Dicke, 1992). Ninkovic *et al.*, (2001) demonstrated that predators mostly use semiochemicals to find their hosts. Predators can sense the odor emitted by their prey as kairomones while searching for food, but this sense is stronger in adult predators when compared to their immature stages (Sengonca and Liu, 1994). The volatile blends/compounds released by plants vary widely between various combinations of plants and their associated herbivores (Van Den *et al.*, 2004).

So, it is imperative to investigate, the predatory beetle, *Coccinella septempunctata* that is linked to various crops in the area and their reactions to various volatile compounds released by various plant species in order to determine which host plants attracts this predatory beetles the most.

## 2. Materials and methods

Laboratory studies were conducted to study the olfactory response of predatory coccinellid beetle, *C. septempunctata* (L.) towards healthy mustard, mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi*), aphid infested

Commented [DR6]: In bracket or any other writing format need to be followed

Commented [DR7]: Full stop (.)

Commented [DR8]: Non-italicised

Commented [DR9]: *C. septempunctata*

Commented [DR10]: beetle

mustard, healthy wheat, wheat aphid (*Rhopalosiphum maidis*), aphid infested wheat, healthy rose, rose aphid (*Macrosiphum rosae*), aphid infested rose, healthy chrysanthemum, chrysanthemum aphid (*Macrosiphoniella sanborni*) and aphid infested chrysanthemum. The experiment was carried out using a four-arm olfactometer (Pettersson, 1970; Kalule and Wright, 2004; Webster *et al.*, 2010, Jagdish *et al.*, 2013). In order to conduct the experiment, the culture of the predatory beetle, *C. septempunctata* was maintained in the laboratory.

#### **Predator collection and maintenance**

The adult beetle, *C. septempunctata* were collected from field and were kept in plastic jars that were lined with blotting paper and fed with rose aphid *Macrosiphum rosae* on daily basis thereafter jars were covered with muslin cloth.

#### **Collection of plant specimens**

Wheat, mustard, rose and chrysanthemum plants were used to find the olfactory response of *C. septempunctata*. Fresh twigs and leaves of host plants and twigs infested with aphids were acquired from insecticide-free plants grown in the Crop Research Centre, Pantnagar.

#### **Olfactometer bioassay studies**

The behavioral responses of *C. septempunctata* towards different hosts were determined by using a four-arm olfactometer. The outside apertures of the treatments were tightly covered with a lid after the treatments were placed into the arms of the olfactometer. To produce uniform flow, a tiny axial flow fan (DC 10V, 3.5 cm dia) was mounted at each of the four distal openings of the arms. Each arm's odour resource was retained at the distal end. This set up allowed the beetles to receive airflow bathing the olfactory cues from the odour source and travel upwind in response to the volatiles. To prevent insects from moving towards the light, the translucent upper side of the release chamber was covered with a cloth. Twenty beetles of each species were deprived of food for 12 hrs prior to start of study to intensify their meal satiety. Treatments used placed in different arms include healthy twigs of a particular crop in one arm, twigs infested with aphids of that crop in one arm, and only aphids related to that crop in one arm and the control is treated with n-hexane because of its odourless nature (Siddique, 2019). The number of beetles moving towards host plants/prey in an olfactometer were counted every 30 minutes for three hours and the experimental arena was cleaned on

**Commented [DR11]:** Paraphrase it.  
Collection and maintenance of predatory beetles

**Commented [DR12]:** The adult beetles of *C. septempunctata* were

**Commented [DR13]:** Comma after aphid

**Commented [DR14]:** You can mention the name of the varieties (cv....)

**Commented [DR15]:** Pantnagar, Uttarakhand

regular basis.

### Statistical analysis

To distinguish variations in the number of beetles moving towards prey and their host plants, the Duncan Merit Range Test (DMRT) under Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with  $P \leq 0.05$  was utilized. The analytical programme SPSS version 16.0 was used to compute the data.

Commented [DR16]: Duncan's multiple range test

### Results and discussion

#### Response of *Coccinella septempunctata* towards different host plants and their aphids

The predatory beetle, *C. septempunctata* responded positively and significantly to various treatments viz., healthy mustard, mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi*), aphid infested mustard, healthy wheat, wheat aphid (*Rhopalosiphum maidis*), aphid infested wheat, healthy rose, rose aphid *Macrosiphum rosae*, aphid infested rose, healthy chrysanthemum, chrysanthemum aphid (*Macrosiphoniella sanborni*) and aphid infested chrysanthemum as presented in Table 1 and Fig. 1.

#### Response of *C. septempunctata* towards mustard and mustard aphids

Among all the different treatments, a significant higher response of beetles was observed towards *L. erysimi* infested mustard with a percent orientation of 30. This was followed by 25 percent on healthy mustard and 10 percent on *L. erysimi* alone. No response of beetles was towards the control arm. This clearly suggested that these predatory beetles preferred the olfactory experiences provided by mustard over clean air.

#### Response of *C. septempunctata* towards wheat and wheat aphids

Among all the different treatments, a significant higher response of beetles was observed towards *R. maidis* infested wheat with a percent orientation of 25. This was by 20 percent on healthy wheat and 10 percent on *R. maidis* alone. The average percent per cent orientation towards the control arm was only 5 percent. This clearly suggested that these predatory beetles preferred the olfactory experiences provided by wheat over clean air.

#### Response of *C. septempunctata* towards rose and rose aphids

Among all the different treatments, a significant higher response of beetles was observed towards *M. rosae* infested rose with a percent orientation of 30. This was followed by 20 percent on healthy rose and 10 percent on *M. rosae* alone. The average percent orientation towards the control arm

was only 5. This clearly suggested that these predatory beetles preferred the olfactory experiences provided by rose over clean air.

**Response of *C. septempunctata* towards chrysanthemum and chrysanthemum aphids**


Among all the different treatments, a significant higher response of beetles was observed towards *M. sanborni* infested chrysanthemum with a percent orientation of 30. This was followed by 25 percent on healthy chrysanthemum and 10 percent on *M. sanborni* alone. The percent orientation towards the control arm was 0. This clearly suggested that these predatory beetles preferred the olfactory experiences provided by chrysanthemum over clean air.

**Table 1:** Olfactory response of *C. septempunctata* to different treatments

<i>C. septempunctata</i>	Percent orientation of <i>C. septempunctata</i>			
	Mustard	Wheat	Rose	Chrysanthemum
<b>Control</b>	0 <sup>a</sup> (0.00)	5 <sup>a</sup> (12.92)	5 <sup>a</sup> (12.92)	0 <sup>a</sup> (0.00)
<b>Healthy twig</b>	25 <sup>c</sup> (30.00)	20 <sup>c</sup> (26.56)	20 <sup>c</sup> (26.56)	25 <sup>c</sup> (30.00)
<b>Aphids alone</b>	10 <sup>b</sup> (18.43)	10 <sup>b</sup> (18.43)	10 <sup>b</sup> (18.43)	10 <sup>b</sup> (18.43)
<b>Infested twig</b>	30 <sup>c</sup> (33.21)	25 <sup>d</sup> (30.00)	30 <sup>d</sup> (33.21)	30 <sup>d</sup> (33.21)

Numbers followed by different letters within the same row are significantly different (p>0.05)

Data presented in parentheses are angular transformed value



**Fig 1.** Olfactory response of the *C. septempunctata* towards different host plants and their aphids

In the current study, a maximum number of coccinellids were attracted to nearly all host plants and aphids, and they responded strongly to both field and ornamental crops. When compared to treatments with only host plants or only aphids, the treatment with both host plants and aphids (host plant infested with aphids) attracted more coccinellids. The present findings are in accordance with the findings of (Dhaliwal *et al.*, 2006), who observed that plants produce semiochemicals in response to herbivore attack to attract beneficial insects in order to protect themselves, and that these plant derived substances impact predator behavior in order to identify the pests. Khan *et al.* (2017) reported that a greater number of *C. septempunctata* were attracted towards host plants infested with aphids rather than healthy plants. Higher numbers of *C. septempunctata* towards infested wheat are confirmed by investigations of Drukker *et al.* (2000), Dicke (2009), Francis *et al.* (2004), Heil (2008) and Raymond *et al.* (2000). Glinwood *et al.* (2011) reported that predatory coccinellids link the odour of aphid-infested plants with the presence of prey.

**Commented [DR17]:** Put conclusive statements

## References

- Abrol, D. P. and Shankar, U. 2014. Pesticides, Food Safety and Integrated Pest Management. In: 'Integrated Pest Management'. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 167-199.
- Dhaliwal, G.S., Singh, R. and Chillar, B.S. 2006. Essentials of Agricultural Entomology. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India, pp. 195-198.
- Dicke, M. 2009. Behavioural and community ecology of plants that cry for help. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 32(6): 654–665.
- Drukker, B., Bruin, J., Jacobs, G., Kroon, A. and Sabelis, M. W. 2000. How predatory mites learn to cope with variability in volatile plant signals in the environment of their herbivorous prey. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.*, 24(12): 881-895.
- Evans, E. W. 2009. Lady beetles as predators of insects other than Hemiptera. *J. Bio. Cont.*, 51(2): 255-267.
- Francis, F., Lognay, G. and Haubruge, E. 2004. Olfactory Responses to Aphid and Host Plant Volatile Releases: (E)- $\beta$ -Farnesene an Effective Kairomone for the Predator *Adalia bipunctata*. *J. Chem. Ecol.*, 30(4): 741–755.
- Glinwood, R., Ahmed, E., Qvarfordt, E. and Ninkovic, V. 2011. Olfactory learning of plant genotypes by a polyphagous insect predator. *Oecologia*, 166(3): 637-647.
- Hawkeswood, T. 1987. Beetles of Australia. Angus and Robertson Publishers, North Ryde, New South Wales, Australia
- Heil, M., 2008. Indirect defence via tri trophic interactions. *New Phytol.*, 178(1): 41-61
- Hodek, I. and Honek, A. 1996. Effectiveness and utilization. In: 'Ecology of coccinellidae'. Series Entomologica, vol 54. Springer, Dordrecht. pp 351-389.
- Jagdish, J., Bhaskar, H. and Ranjith, A. M. 2013. Behavioural response of *Cheilomenes sexmaculata* (Fabricius) to natural enemy and pest mediated semiochemicals. *J. Biol. Cont.*, 27(1): 58-60.
- Jervis, M. A. and Kidd, N. A. C. 1996. Phytophagy. In: 'Jervis M., Kidd N. (eds) Insect natural enemies'. Springer, Dordrecht. pp 375-394.

- Kalule, T., and Wright, D. J. 2004. The influence of cultivar and cultivar aphid odours on the olfactory response of the parasitoid *Aphidius colemani*. *J. App. Entomol.*, 128(2): 120-125.
- Khan, A. A., Khan, A. M. and Afzal, M. 2017. Olfactory response of ladybird beetle, *Coccinella septempunctata* L. (Coccinellidae: Coleoptera) towards aphids and their host plants. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 49(4): 1539-1541.
- Lewis, W. J., Van Lenteren, J. C., Phatak, S. C. and Tumlinson, J. H. 1997. A total system approach to sustainable pest management. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 94(23): 12243-12248.
- Miller, G. T. 2004. Sustaining the Earth, Thompson Learning. *J. Inc. Pacific Grove, California*, 9: 211-216.
- Nelaballe, V. K. and Meruva, B. P. 2015. Coccinellid Beetles in Pest and Disease Management. *J. Int. Chem. Environ. Biol. Sci. Insect.*, 3(5): 394-396.
- Ninkovic, V., Al Abassi, S. and Pettersson, J. 2001. The influence of aphid-induced plant volatiles on ladybird beetle searching behaviour. *J. Bio. Cont.*, 21(2): 191-195.
- Omkar, B. R. and Pervez, A. 1999. New record of coccinellids from Uttar Pradesh. I. *J. Adv. Zool.*, 20(2): 106-112.
- Pettersson, J. 1970. An aphid sex attractant. *Insect Syst. Evol.*, 1(1): 63-73.
- Poorani, J. 2012. Annotated Checklist of the Coccinellidae (Coleoptera) of the Indian Sub region. [www.angelfire.com/bug2/j\\_poorani/checklist.pdf](http://www.angelfire.com/bug2/j_poorani/checklist.pdf)
- Poppy, G. M. 1997. Tritrophic interactions: improving ecological understanding and biological control. *Endeavour.*, 21(2): 61-65.
- Raymond, B., Darby, A. C. and Douglas, A. E. 2000. The olfactory responses of coccinellids to aphids on plants. *Entomol.*, 95(1): 113-117.
- Reddy, G. V. 2012. Recent trends in the olfactory responses of insect natural enemies to plant volatiles. In: '*Bio communication of plants*'. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. pp 281-301.
- Sengonca, C. and Liu, B. 1994. Responses of the different instar predator, *Coccinella septempunctata* L. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), to the kairomones produced by the prey and non-prey insects as well as the predator itself. *J. Plant Dis. Prot.*, 101(2): 173-177.

- Siddique, B., Tariq, M., Naeem, M. and Ali, M. 2019. Behavioral Responses of *Coccinella septempunctata* and *Diaeretiella rapae* under the Influence of Semiochemicals and Plant Extract in Four Arm Olfactometer. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 51(4): 1403.
- Turlings, T. C., Tumlinson, J. H. and Lewis, W. J. 1990. Exploitation of herbivore induced plant odors by host-seeking parasitic wasps. *Sci.*, 250(4985): 1251-1253.
- Van Den Boom, C. E., Van Beek, T. A., Posthumus, M. A., De Groot, A. and Dicke, M. 2004. Qualitative and quantitative variation among volatile profiles induced by *Tetranychus urticae* feeding on plants from various families. *J. Chem. Ecol.*, 30(1): 69-89.
- Vandenberg, N. 2002. The new world genus *Cycloneda* Crotch (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae): Historical review, new diagnosis, new generic and specific synonyms, and an improved key to North American species. *J. Proc. Entomol. Soc. enWashington*, 104(1): pp 221-236.
- Vet, L. E. and Dicke, M. 1992. Ecology of info chemical use by natural enemies in a tri trophic context. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*, 37(1): 141-172.
- Webster, B., Bruce, T., Pickett, J., and Hardie, J. 2010. Volatiles functioning as host cues in a blend become non-host cues when presented alone to the black bean aphid. *Anim. Behav.*, 79(2): 451-457.