

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_123354</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Behavioural response of seven-spotted ladybird beetle, <i>Coccinella septempunctata</i> (L.) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) towards different aphids and their host plants</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript contains the behavioural responses of <i>C. septempunctata</i> on various aphids and aphid infested host plants which is quite good in this line of study.</li> <li>However, the data generated in the current study can be aided by some other supplementary data to make it more robust.</li> </ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Title seems to be okay.</li> </ul>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some grammatical corrections are added in the abstract section. Apart from that the laboratory conditions can be mentioned (temperature, relative humidity)</li> </ul>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The discussion section can be more robust with more supplemented data. The primary approach is good however, the plant phenological stages, aphid infestation procedures (after how many days/ time of infestation the plants were exposed to the beetles)</li> </ul>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript is scientifically correct up to a good extent. However, the materials and methods need to mention the details of aphid release, feeding on host plants etc..</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No, references are not sufficient. More recent references need to be added in the manuscript with regard to the introduction section and also the end discussion part.</li> </ul>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes, the English quality is correct for scholarly communication.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Further corrections are added in the manuscript as review comments which can be included in the final submission.</li> </ul>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Snehasish Routray</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>