

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_123354
Title of the Manuscript:	Behavioural response of seven-spotted ladybird beetle, <i>Coccinella septempunctata</i> (L.) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) towards different aphids and their host plants
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Coccinellids are generalist predators that play an important role in the management of pests because of their wide host range. They prey upon various insects such as aphids, whiteflies, mites, psyllids, plant hoppers, coccids, pseudococcids, thrips, etc. They find the prey either using the odour of chemicals released by the infestation of a plant by a pest or the odour of the plant. It is necessary to confirm the mechanism which is responsible for finding the prey by the predatory coccinellids. So, it is very important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. I have added some minor changes that need to be incorporated in the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Methodology, results, and analysis are ok. So, the manuscript is scientifically robust. Only need to add some recent references instead of old ones as most of the references are very old.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Mostly old references. Add new references and prepare references according to the format of the journal.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Check carefully all modifications and incorporate. There is no conclusion in the manuscript. Add conclusion.	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manoj Kumar Jena
Department, University & Country	Poland