

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_122446</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Eco-Friendly management of maydis leaf blight (<i>Bipolaris maydis</i>) of maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Evaluation of combined effect of <i>Trichoderma viride</i> with different botanicals in management of maydis leaf blight (<i>Bipolaris maydis</i>) of maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.)</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is general study in optional bio-intensive management strategies against the maydis leaf blight ( <i>Bipolaris maydis</i> ) of maize ( <i>Zea mays L.</i> ). This type studies habe been carried out for learning the research for academic level so that how in future the management plans could be prepared in practical research of life	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Evaluation of combined effect of <i>Trichoderma viride</i> with different botanicals in management of maydis leaf blight ( <i>Bipolaris maydis</i> ) of maize	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Check grammatically properly again	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically robust and technically sound	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not sufficient references. References regarding <i>T. viride</i> and botanicals used in studies must be mention the findings of others who had worked with combined or in compatibility. Because <i>T. viride</i> is also a fungus and pathogen is also a fungus it is just the fungal body both and botanicals that could damage the pathogen might be damaged the <i>Trichoderma</i> too because of fungal therefore, are any references before work carried out or justification must be mentioned that the botanical extract could not damaged the bioagent due that ?	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	General importance short length simple paper	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rakesh Kumar Prajapati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>JNKVV, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, India</b>