

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_121625
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance Evaluation of Rice Puffing Machine with LPG Heating System
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is a good and innovative addition to the process of producing puffed rice grains for the purpose of weight loss and diet. I recommend that the manuscript be patented.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggest a research title The production of dense rice is achieved through the use of a blowing machine equipped with a heating system.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should provide a detailed explanation of the study's best results, rather than merely describing the definition of rice and the machine addressed in the work methods.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is suitable.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, it is sufficient, appropriate, modern and suitable for the research idea.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	1- I suggest changing the title 2- I suggest: removing the definition of rice and the wind instrument from the beginning of the summary and mentioning it after reviewing the results that were reached 3- Spelling check for the words indicated in yellow 4- It was supposed to study more than one type of rice by studying its chemical properties and the extent of rice densification and its water content SEE ATTACHMENT	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sheren Fadhal Abbas
Department, University & Country	University of Basrah, College of Agriculture, Iraq