

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_123034</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of community pharmacist's in managing Chronic diseases.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it addresses the crucial role of community pharmacists in managing chronic diseases, a rising global health concern. By evaluating their knowledge, attitudes, and practices, the study provides valuable insights into the gaps and strengths within the healthcare system, particularly in the context of Lahore, Pakistan. The findings can guide policy reforms, improve training programs, and ultimately enhance patient outcomes by empowering pharmacists to play a more active role in chronic disease management. I appreciate the manuscript's focus on an under-researched area and its potential to influence future healthcare practices and policies. However, To improve your manuscript titled "Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Community Pharmacists in Managing Chronic Diseases," here are some suggestions:</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Consider refining the title to be more specific. For instance, "Evaluation of Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Community Pharmacists in Managing Chronic Diseases in Lahore, Pakistan."</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract could be clearer. Ensure that the methods, results, and conclusion sections are succinct and directly related to the study's objectives. Mention key statistical outcomes briefly.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript follow a standard research format, but there are areas where the organization and clarity could be improved.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound due to its well-defined research objectives and methodological approach. The use of a cross-sectional design with validated questionnaires ensures that the data collected is relevant and reliable. Statistical analysis is appropriately applied to evaluate the knowledge, attitude, and practice of community pharmacists, providing quantitative evidence to support the study's conclusions. Additionally, the manuscript is grounded in current literature, which helps contextualize the findings and reinforces the study's credibility within the broader field of healthcare research.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references in the manuscript are generally sufficient, but there is room for improvement in terms of recency and relevance. While the manuscript cites several important studies, some of the references are older and might not fully capture the most recent advancements and discussions in the field of chronic disease management and the role of community pharmacists.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Add data figure. Please validate data, data validation need for acceptance.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sakib Al Hasan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Science and Technology University, Bangladesh</b>