

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_121830</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The effects of crises on the tourism sector: the case of Greece</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Policy Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it examines the impact of the 2008 socio-economic crisis and the COVID-19 pandemic on Greece's tourism sector using Input-Output Analysis. This analysis reveals the varying resilience of different tourism-related sectors, providing valuable insights for policymakers to strengthen the industry. The study's methodological rigor and practical implications for economic policy make it a valuable resource for enhancing the sector's resilience to future crises.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Is suitable as it clearly indicates the focus of the study on the impact of crises on Greece's tourism sector.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of the article provides a clear overview of the study's aim, methodology, results, and conclusions.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The structure and subsections of the manuscript appear to be appropriate for a comprehensive analysis of the impact of crises on the tourism sector in Greece.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its use of Input-Output Analysis to assess the impact of crises on Greece's tourism sector. The detailed methodology ensures reproducibility, and the use of data from multiple time points enhances the reliability of the findings. The analysis of various sectors provides valuable insights for policy-making and economic planning.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are comprehensive and cover key aspects of Input-Output Analysis and tourism economics	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript uses appropriate academic vocabulary and follows a clear and logical structure. However, minor grammatical improvements and more precise terminology could enhance readability and clarity. Overall, the language quality is sufficient, but a thorough proofreading could further polish the text.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Stephen Jeuma Bakari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The University of Dodoma, Tanzania</b>