

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122939
Title of the Manuscript:	Insect diversity and abundance in yellow sticky traps across various growth stages of the cabbage ecosystem
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the dynamics of insect diversity and abundance in cabbage ecosystems, crucial for developing effective integrated pest management (IPM) strategies. I appreciate this manuscript because it addresses a critical knowledge gap in understanding the temporal patterns of insect populations across various growth stages of cabbage, enabling targeted pest control measures. The study's findings will benefit researchers, agricultural practitioners, and policymakers seeking to optimize crop protection while minimizing environmental impact. Additionally, the manuscript's focus on insect diversity and abundance in a specific agro ecosystem makes it a valuable contribution to the field of agricultural entomology.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its well-designed experiment, rigorous data collection, and comprehensive analysis of insect diversity and abundance in cabbage ecosystems. The authors' use of standardized yellow sticky traps and consistent sampling protocols ensures reliability and accuracy of the data, while their application of appropriate statistical methods (e.g., ANOVA, regression) adds to the manuscript's technical soundness. The study's consideration of multiple growth stages and replication across different sites enhances the validity and generalizability of the findings.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nishigandha Ranasingh
Department, University & Country	Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, India