

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_122024</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECTIVENESS OF BAGGED AND STRUCTURED REFUGIA AGAINST COTTON BOLLWORMS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think that this article is fantastic because it discuss something important that is the effect of Bt in different refuge on the control of Bollworm complex on cotton. The paper attained the difference between treatments and determined the yield quality and quantity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	This article is suitable for publishing a the journal because its benefit in producing images for some control items not handled before in our country and carried out well.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think that is enough because it briefly give insight in the subject and the processing of the all work treatment and implementation	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Very good structure and preparing the article	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article very good and havn't any robustness materials	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The author choose the simplest and briefed way to write for his paper exhibition (small quantity of references). and I agree with this style of writing	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good language	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Hanan Salah Eldin Taha
Department, University & Country	Agriculture Research Centre, Egypt