

CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS FOR YIELD AND ITS COMPONENT TRAITS IN ADVANCED BREEDING LINES OF RICE [*ORYZA SATIVA* L.]

ABSTRACT

Aims: To evaluate correlation and path analysis for nine yield attributing traits across sixty advanced breeding lines.

Study design: The experiment was laid out in Alpha lattice design in 2 replications.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Regional Agricultural Research Station in Maruteru during kharif, 2023

Methodology: Sixty advanced breeding lines of rice were evaluated for nine yield and its attributing traits. Correlation analysis, direct and indirect effects on grain yield per plant through path coefficient analysis were calculated. The traits examined included days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, ear-bearing tillers per square meter, spikelet fertility, grains per panicle, test weight and grain yield per plant.

Results: Correlation analysis revealed that plant height, ear-bearing tillers per square meter, panicle length, and grains per panicle exhibited significant positive correlations with grain yield per plant. This underscores the importance of these traits in contributing to improved yield outcomes. Path analysis results indicated that days to 50% flowering, ear-bearing tillers per square meter, panicle length, grains per panicle and [plant height](#) [test weight](#) showed direct positive associations with grain yield per plant.

Conclusion: These findings highlight the significance of these specific traits as key selection indicators in breeding programs aimed at enhancing yield. Overall, emphasizing these traits in breeding efforts is shown to lead to improvements in grain yield per plant, making them crucial targets for selection and improvement strategies in [agricultural-rice](#) breeding programs.

Keywords: *Correlation; Path analysis; Advanced breeding lines; [Rice](#); Selection indicator*

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice [*Oryza sativa* L.] holds an essential place in Indian agriculture as it can thrive in a range of ecological conditions. India ranks second in global rice production that accounts for 26% of total rice production. Total production in India is 137 million metric tons during the year 2023/2024. In the year 2023/2024 worldwide production of rice accounts for 543.54520.87 million metric tons [1]. Rice cultivars with better yields are desperately needed to meet future consumer demands. However, the net impact of yield component characteristics determines yield primarily.

Correlation is a statistical measure used to understand the relationships between different traits, which can be valuable for improving yield through selection. Genotypic correlation assesses how genetic components influencing various traits are related, while phenotypic correlation considers the combined effects of both genetic and environmental factors. Path analysis, on the other hand, evaluates how individual yield component traits contribute to overall grain yield, accounting for both direct and indirect effects.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study location

This study was conducted during the *kharif*, 2023 at the Regional Agricultural Research Station in Maruteru, West Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh. The station is located at a longitude of 81.44^o, a latitude of 26.38^o N, and an elevation of 5 meters above sea level, within the Godavari Zone of Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University. The research involved sixty advanced breeding lines, including the check varieties MTU-1121, TN-1 and RP Bio-226. The evaluation of these lines was carried out using an alpha lattice design with two replications, focusing on nine metric traits.

2.2 Statistical analysis

The mean values over replications were computed for nine metric traits. These values were subjected to correlation analysis by using formulas proposed by Falconer to compute genotypic and phenotypic correlations [2]. The calculated values were compared to the correlation coefficient table values in order to evaluate the significance of the correlation coefficient as specified by [3]. In path analysis, direct and indirect effects were calculated as per Dewey and Lu [4]. Statistical Analysis is being carried out by using INDOSTAT software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Correlation studies

The genotypic and phenotypic correlation for eight metric traits with yield is mentioned in table .1. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation matrix were depicted in figures .1 and 2 respectively.

Days to 50% flowering exhibited significant positive genotypic correlation with days to maturity (0.956**), plant height (0.515**), panicle length (0.219*) and grains per panicle (0.352**). Significant positive phenotypic correlation is observed with days to maturity (0.956**), plant height (0.515**), panicle length (0.221*) and grains per panicle (0.354). Spikelet fertility (-0.262*) showed significant negative phenotypic correlation with days to 50% flowering. These results were in accordance with Kumar *et al.* [5], Madishetty *et al.* [6] for days to maturity; Panika *et al.* [7] for panicle length; Gupta *et al.* [8] for spikelet fertility; Parimala *et al.* [9] for plant height; ~~These Such~~ results indicate that increase in duration of crop provides scope for increase in number of grains per panicle, ~~this which~~ is in accordance with Madishetty *et al.* [6] and Parimala *et al.* [9].

Days to maturity showed significant positive genotypic correlation with plant height (0.483**), panicle length (0.227*) and grains per panicle (0.341**). Similarly, plant height (0.484**), panicle length (0.227*) and grains per panicle (0.342**) showed significant positive phenotypic correlation with days to maturity. Significant negative phenotypic and genotypic correlation was observed for

Comment [VI1]: it is good to state at least some general data about the pedigree and the rest of the tested genotypes. Why are only the three standard varieties listed?

Comment [VI2]: it is good to give a broader description of the way of conducting the experiment

Comment [VI3]: it is also necessary to list the examined characteristics here, not only in the abstract

Comment [VI4]: this sentence should be reformulated because the previous part ends with the same one and it is repeated here

Comment [VI5]: figures to be placed in the appropriate place, this is how they should behave in the path analysis section

days to maturity with spikelet fertility (-0.221*). Similar findings were recorded by Madishetty *et al.* [6] for grains per panicle; Saha *et al.* [10] for plant height; Aditya and Bhartiya [11] for panicle length, Gupta *et al.* [8] for spikelet fertility.

Table 1. Correlation among yield and studied yield component traits in rice genotypes

Character		DFD	DM	PH	EBT	PL	GP	SF	TW	GY/P
DFD	r _g	1	0.956**	0.515**	0.011	0.219*	0.352**	-0.0219	0.1438	0.1438
	r _p	1	0.956**	0.515**	0.0145	0.221*	0.354**	-0.262*	-0.0229	0.1414
DM	r _g		1	0.483**	0.0178	0.227*	0.341**	-0.221*	-0.0009	0.0923
	r _p		1	0.484**	0.0181	0.227*	0.342**	-0.221*	-0.0009	0.0931
PH	r _g			1	0.1107	0.448**	0.435**	-0.215*	0.1405	0.256*
	r _p			1	0.1221	0.456**	0.442**	-0.214*	0.1383	0.248*
EBT	r _g				1	-0.0184	0.0608	-0.0142	-0.1145	0.246*
	r _p				1	-0.0257	0.0544	-0.0172	-0.1114	0.269*
PL	r _g					1	0.342**	-0.1196	0.243*	0.315**
	r _p					1	0.340**	-0.1212	0.246*	0.328**
GP	r _g						1	0.216*	-0.405**	0.411**
	r _p						1	0.215*	-0.404**	0.425**
SF	r _g							1	-0.1542	0.0263
	r _p							1	-0.1536	0.0302
TW	r _g								1	-0.0375
	r _p								1	-0.0429
GY/P	r _g									1
	r _p									1

** Significant at 1%, * Significant at 5%

DFD-Days to 50% flowering, DM-Days to maturity, PH- Plant height, EBT- Ear bearing tillers per m², PL- Panicle length, GP- Number of grains per panicle, SF- Spikelet fertility, TW- Test weight, GY/P- Grain yield per plant

Comment [VI6]: ???

Plant height revealed significant positive correlation with panicle length (0.448**), grains per panicle (0.435**) and grain yield per plant (0.256*). Similarly, significant positive phenotypic correlation was observed with panicle length (0.456**), grains per panicle (0.452**) and grain yield per plant (0.248*). Plant height showed significant negative phenotypic (-0.214*) and genotypic (-0.215*) correlation with spikelet fertility.

These results were in accordance with Parimala *et al.* [9] for panicle length and grains per panicle. These results indicate that increase in plant height will yield longer panicles with more grains per panicle and higher yields per plant, this was in conformity with the findings of Madishetty *et al.* [6]. On the other hand, increase in plant height results in lower spikelet fertility, this is in accordance with Panika *et al.* [7].

Ear bearing tillers per m² recorded significant positive genotypic (0.269*) and phenotypic (0.246*) correlation with grain yield per plant. This implies that increase in number of ear bearing tillers leads to significant increase in grain yield. This was in conformity with the findings of Dinkar *et al.* [12].

Significant positive genotypic correlation was observed for panicle length with grains per panicle (0.342**), test weight (0.243*) and grain yield per plant (0.315**). Similarly, significant positive phenotypic correlation was observed with grains per panicle (0.340**), test weight (0.246*) and grain yield per plant (0.328**). These results indicate that increase in panicle length leads to more number of grains per panicle and bolder grains and increase in single plant yield. These findings were in accordance with the earlier reports of Madishetty *et al.* [6], Parimala *et al.* [9] for grain yield; Dinkar *et al.* [12] for grains per

panicle and test weight. Grains per panicle recorded significant positive genotypic correlation with spikelet fertility (0.216*) and grain yield per plant (0.411**). Similarly, significant positive phenotypic correlation was recorded with spikelet fertility (0.215*) and grain yield per plant (0.425**). Significant negative genotypic (-0.405**) and phenotypic (-0.404**) correlation was recorded for grains per panicle with test weight

This result shows that with increase in grains per panicle, the number of filled grains will be more compared to unfilled grains, as a result the spikelet fertility increases that leads to more grain yield per plant. These findings were in accordance with the results of Madishetty *et al.* [6] for grain yield per plant; Kavya *et al.* [13] for spikelet fertility and grain yield per plant. With the increase in grains per panicle, the test weight has significantly reduced, this is in accordance with the findings of Krishna *et al.* [14].

Spikelet fertility showed non-significant positive genotypic (0.0263) and phenotypic (0.0302) correlation with grain yield per plant. Whereas, non-significant negative genotypic (-0.1542) and phenotypic (-0.1536) correlation was recorded with test weight. These results were in accordance with the findings of Kavya *et al.* [13] for grain yield per plant. Spikelet fertility recorded non-significant negative genotypic (-0.0375) and phenotypic (-0.0429) with grain yield per plant. These results were in concordance with the reports of Krishna *et al.* (2022). Grain yield per plant recorded significant positive genotypic correlation with plant height (0.256*), ear bearing tillers per m² (0.246*), panicle length (0.315**) and grains per panicle (0.411**). Similarly, significant positive phenotypic correlation with plant height (0.248*), ear bearing tillers per m² (0.269*), panicle length (0.328**) and grains per panicle (0.425**). Indirect selection for plant height, ear bearing tillers per m², panicle length and grains per panicle will lead to significant increase in yield. These results were in accordance with the findings of Madishetty *et al.* [6] for plant height and panicle length; Dinkar *et al.* [12] for panicle length and ear bearing tillers per m²; Kavya *et al.* [13] for ear bearing tillers per m² and grains per panicle.

3.2 Path Analysis

Path analysis is used to ascertain the extent of contribution by several yield attributing variables to the grain yield, comprising of both direct and indirect effects. Correlation coefficients are separated into values of direct and indirect effects. The direct and indirect values for phenotypic and genotypic path analysis were mentioned in tables 2, 3 respectively and the genotypic and phenotypic path diagrams were depicted in figures 3 and 4 respectively.

The direct effect of grains per panicle on grain yield per plant was high, positive at both genotypic (0.431) and phenotypic (0.4603) levels. Direct selection for this trait will be more rewarding as the direct effects are high and positive. Similar results were observed by Abbas [15] at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. At both phenotypic and genotypic levels, grains per panicle showed positive indirect effect on grain yield per plant *via* days to 50% flowering, panicle length and ear bearing tillers per m². Apart from this positive indirect effect, this trait also showed negative indirect effect on grain yield per plant *via* spikelet fertility, days to maturity, plant height and test weight.

Comment [VI7]: this part needs to be technically edited (also to unify the font, different fonts are used in different parts)

The direct effect of ear bearing tillers per m² on grain yield per plant was moderate and positive at both phenotypic (0.2801) and genotypic (0.2495) levels. This results were in conformity with the findings of Kavya *et al.* [13]. At both genotypic and phenotypic levels, ear bearing tillers per m² showed positive indirect effect on grain yield per plant *via* days to 50% flowering, spikelet fertility and

Figure .1 Genotypic Correlation matrix for yield and [studied yield component traits in rice genotypes](#)






Figure .2 Phenotypic Correlation matrix for yield and [studied yield component traits in rice genotypes](#)



UNDER PEER REVIEW



grains per panicle. Apart from this, this trait showed negative indirect effect on grain yield per plant *via* days to maturity, plant height, panicle length and test weight.

The direct effect of days to 50% flowering on grain yield was observed to be positive and high at genotypic (0.5822) and phenotypic (0.5442) levels. Direct selection for this trait was rewarding upto a certain extent as the direct effects were high at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. This is in accordance with the findings of Panika *et al.* [7] for both genotypic and phenotypic levels. At genotypic level, days to 50% flowering showed positive indirect effect on grain yield per plant *via* ear bearing tillers per m², panicle length, spikelet fertility and grains per panicle. Apart from this positive indirect effect, days to 50% flowering also showed negative indirect effect on grain yield per plant *via* days to maturity, plant height and test weight.

The direct effect of test weight on grain yield per plant was low and positive at both genotypic (0.1394) and phenotypic (0.1465) levels. Similar results were recorded by Abbas [15] at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. At both genotypic and phenotypic levels, test weight showed positive indirect effect on grain yield per plant *via* days to maturity, spikelet fertility and panicle length. Besides this, test weight showed negative indirect effect on grain yield per plant *via* days to 50% flowering, ear bearing tillers per m², plant height and grains per panicle.

The direct effect of panicle length on grain yield per plant was low, positive at both phenotypic (0.1997) and genotypic (0.1777) levels. Direct selection for this trait for improving grain yield per plant is effective. This was in accordance with the results of Kavva *et al.* [13], Parimala *et al.* [9]. At both phenotypic and genotypic levels, panicle length showed positive indirect effect on grain yield per plant *via* days to 50% flowering, spikelet fertility, grains per

Table 2 Estimates of phenotypic direct and indirect effects of 8-studied traits on grain yield in rice genotypes

Character	DFF (days)	DM (days)	PH (cm)	EBT/m ²	PL (cm)	GP	SF (%)	TW (g)	GY/P (g)
DFF	0.5442	-0.5662	-0.0515	0.0041	0.0041	0.163	0.0071	-0.0033	0.1414
DM	0.5202	-0.5923	-0.0484	0.0051	0.0454	0.1573	0.006	-0.0001	0.0931
PH	0.2801	-0.2867	-0.1001	0.0342	0.091	0.2032	0.0058	0.0203	0.248*
EBT/m ²	0.0079	-0.0107	-0.0122	0.2801	-0.0051	0.025	0.0005	-0.0163	0.269*
PL	0.1202	-0.1346	-0.0456	-0.0072	0.1997	0.1565	0.0033	0.036	0.328**
GP	0.1928	-0.2025	-0.0442	0.0152	0.0679	0.4603	-0.0058	-0.0591	0.425**
SF	-0.1426	0.1311	0.0214	-0.0048	-0.0242	0.0989	-0.0271	-0.1465	0.0302
TW	-0.0124	0.0005	-0.0138	-0.0312	0.049	-0.1857	0.0042	0.1465	-0.0429

Residual effect: 0.221

Table 3 Estimates of gGenotypic direct and indirect effects of 8-studiedtraits on grain yield in rice genotypes

Character	DFE (days)	DM (days)	PH (cm)	EBT/m ²	PL (cm)	GP	SF (%)	TW (g)	GY/P (g)
DFE	0.5822	-0.6047	-0.0291	0.0028	0.0389	0.1517	0.0052	-0.0031	0.1438
DM	0.5563	-0.6328	-0.0273	0.0044	0.0403	0.1471	0.0044	-0.0001	0.0923
PH	0.2998	-0.3053	-0.0566	0.0276	0.0797	0.1874	0.0042	0.0196	0.256*
EBT/m ²	0.0064	-0.0112	-0.0063	0.2495	-0.0033	0.0262	0.0003	-0.016	0.246*
PL	0.1275	-0.1435	-0.0254	-0.0046	0.1777	0.1475	0.0024	0.0339	0.315**
GP	0.205	-0.2159	-0.0246	0.0152	0.0608	0.431	-0.0043	-0.0564	0.411**
SF	-0.1528	0.1401	0.0121	-0.0035	-0.0213	0.093	-0.0197	-0.0215	0.0263
TW	-0.0128	0.0006	-0.0079	-0.0286	0.0432	-0.1743	0.003	0.1394	-0.0375

Residual effect: **0.237**

*Direct effects on main diagonal. DFE-Days to 50% flowering, DM-Days to Maturity, PH- Plant height, EBT- Ear bearing tillers per m², PL- Panicle length, GP-Number of grains per panicle, TW- Test weight, SF- Spikelet fertility, TW- Test weight. GY/P- Grain yield per plant.

Figure 3 Phenotypic path diagram for yield and its contributing traits





Figure .4 Genotypic path diagram for yield and its contributing traits



panicle and test weight. Apart from this, panicle length showed negative indirect effect on grain yield per plant *via* ear bearing tillers per m², plant height and days to maturity.

Highest positive direct on grain yield per plant at genotypic level was observed with days to 50% flowering (0.5822) followed by grains per panicle (0.431), ear bearing tillers per m² (0.2495), panicle length (0.1777) and test weight (0.1394). Highest positive direct on grain yield per plant at phenotypic level was observed with days to 50% flowering (0.5422) followed by grains per panicle (0.4603), ear bearing tillers per m² (0.2801), panicle length (0.1997) and test weight (0.1465). Direct selection of these traits, helps in improving grain yield per plant.

Highest negative direct on grain yield per plant at genotypic level was observed with [days to maturity](#) (-0.6328) followed by plant height (-0.0566) and spikelet fertility (-0.0197). Highest negative direct on grain yield per plant at phenotypic level was observed [also](#) with [days to maturity](#) (-0.5923) followed by plant height (-0.1001) and spikelet fertility (-0.0271).

3.3 Residual effect

The degree to which the causal variables account for the dependent factor's variability is determined by the residual effect. In the present study residual effect was 0.237 and 0.221 at genotypic and phenotypic level respectively. This indicated that 76.3% (genotypic) and 77.9% (phenotypic) variability was exploited by the variables mentioned in this study. In addition to the mentioned factors, some other factors which have not been considered here need to be included in the analysis to account for the complete variation in yield.

4. CONCLUSION

This study has shown that grain yield is positively correlated with traits such as plant height, ear-bearing tillers per square meter, panicle length, and grains per panicle. To enhance selection effectiveness in breeding programs, it is crucial to focus on these traits. Path analysis further reveals that ear-bearing tillers per square meter, grains per panicle, days to 50% flowering, panicle length, and test weight have a positive direct impact on grain yield. This underscores the importance of these traits as key indicators for improving yield.

REFERENCES

1. Production trends-Rice, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2024. Available:<https://fas.usda.gov/data/production/commodity/0422110>
2. Falconer DS. Introduction to quantitative genetics. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh. 1964; 312-318.
3. Fischer RA, Yates F. Statistical tables for biological, agricultural and medical research. Olive Boyd, Edinburgh. 1978.
4. Dewey D R and Lu K H. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested Wheat grass seed production. *Agronomy Journal*. 1959;51: 515-518.
5. Kumar, S., Vimal, S.C., Meena, R.P., Singh, A., Srikanth, B., Pandey, A.K., Pal, R.K., Kumar, A., Prasad, L., Luthra, S and Sanu, K. Exploring genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and its component traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *PlantArchives*.2024;24(1): 157-162.
6. Madishetty, A.R., Lal, G.M and Adarsh, K. Genetic Variability and Correlation Studies for Yield and Yield Related Traits in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. 2023;35(20): 1165-1176.
7. Panika, N., Singh, Y., Singh, S.K., Rahangdale, S and Shukla, R.S. Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path Coefficient Study of Indigenous Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Accessions for Different Yield and Quality Contributing Traits. *Environment and Ecology*. 2022;40(4D): 2777-2786.
8. Gupta, S., Upadhyay, S., Koli, G.K., Rathi, S.R., Bisen, P., Loitongbam, B., Singh, P.K and Sinha, B. Trait association and path analysis studies of yield attributing traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*. 2020;11(6): 508-17.
9. Parimala, G., Raju, C.H., Rao, L.V.,Umamaheswari, K and Krishna, K. Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis for Yield, Quality and their Component Traits in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*.2023;13(10): 3782-3794.
10. Saha, S.R., Hassan, L., Haque, M.A., Islam, M.M and Rasel, M. Genetic variability, heritability, correlation and path analyses of yield components in traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) landraces: Variability and traits association in rice. *Journal of the Bangladesh AgriculturalUniversity*. 2019;17(1): 26-32.

11. Aditya, J.P and Bhartiya, A. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for quantitative characters in rainfed upland rice of Uttarakhand Hills. *Journal of Rice Research*. 2013;6(2): 24-34.
12. Dinkar, A.K., Kumar, R.R., Kumar, M and Singh, S.P. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for selection in elite breeding materials of Aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2023;12(3): 5733-5740.
13. Kavya, G., Senguttuvel, P., Shivani, D and Barbadikar, K.M. Estimation of Variability, Correlation Coefficient and Path Analysis in Improved Restorer Lines of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2023;13(11): 2853-2862.
14. Krishna, K., Mohan, Y.C., Shankar, V.G., Parimala, G and Krishna, L. Correlation and path analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) CMS lines. *Journal of Crop and Weed*. 2022;18(2): 216-221.
15. Abbas, S.H. Path Coefficient Analysis and Selection Index in Different Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Genotypes. *Kufa Journal for Agricultural Sciences*. 2024;16(1): 131-146.

UNDER PEER REVIEW