

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_122313
Title of the Manuscript:	Residue analysis and dissipation study of dinotefuran in paddy field using a UHPLC-MS/MS method and risk assessment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study contributed to the corpus of knowledge and was well written . Because of the reduced pesticide residue, the research's findings are noteworthy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The Title is okay but the body of the work contained another pesticide (Flonicamid) which was neither compared with Dinotefuran nor captured in the abstract or conclusion	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest the method and number of samples collected should be included both in the abstract and methodology (soil,leaf etc)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they were appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Pesticides are frequently used in agriculture and handling of pesticides and other chemicals is not a concern for residents in rural areas. The research's conclusion is encouraging because it demonstrates that using dinotefuran is safe, no or less pesticide residue.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Adequate	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formula/method used for calculating the health risk assessment should be outlined with relevant source e.g USEPA. The distance between the rice plant should be stated as this can affect the outcome of the residue within the soil Table 3,4 and 5 on Flonicamid should be reconciled and captured in the body of the work. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yerima Gwamna
Department, University & Country	Maryam Abacha American University, Nigeria