

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_122106
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Bio-control Agents in Mulberry Pest Management: Effective Strategies and Key Challenges
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As sericulture is one of the leading industries among all the textile industries and mulberry is the major plant the silkworms feed upon, the mulberry pest should be managed accordingly. Chemical management is not feasible as the traces will remain on the leaves which may be harmful for the silkworms. So biological control may be necessarily adopted as it is very eco-friendly practices. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is appropriate & no changes required. 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is too comprehensive and written in a very good manner. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subsections are appropriate and there should be more points in the subsections. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It has been very well-written and well-structured, but there should be more points in the review along with more references. Some tables and figures should be included to increase the citations and viewer credibility. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The references are sufficient and they are recent. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communication 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of GRAMMARLY SOFTWARE for typographical error. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satyabrata Sarangi
Department, University & Country	OUAT, India