

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_122608
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Teacher Coaching on Pedagogical Competence in Public Secondary School in Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a relevant topic within the field of education, focusing on the effect of teacher coaching on pedagogical competence in public secondary schools in Kenya. The study is valuable for the scientific community, particularly in regions with similar educational challenges. It contributes to understanding how coaching can improve teaching quality and student outcomes, a critical issue as schools strive to meet the demands of 21st-century education. However, the manuscript could benefit from a more explicit connection between the findings and broader educational theories, which would help situate the study within a more global context. Additionally, addressing some methodological concerns would enhance the robustness of the study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriate and reflects the content of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	ATTENTION to the format. The abstract is mostly comprehensive but should include more specific details about the findings and their implications for educational practice.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the structure is appropriate. However, the discussion section could better connect the findings with relevant educational theories.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, with a strong mixed-methods approach and statistical analyses. However, more attention should be given to potential biases in the self-reported data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate but could benefit from including more recent studies on teacher coaching.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally acceptable but requires some minor proofreading for clarity.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A stronger connection to educational theories would enhance the manuscript's impact.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	LI Ruyang
Department, University & Country	Zhejiang College of Security Technology, China