

### ReviewForm3

JournalName:	<a href="#">AsianJournalofEducationandSocialStudies</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJESS_122286
Titleofthe Manuscript:	NewGraduationCondition:ANudgeTowardsDoctorateness?
Typeofthe Article	

## ReviewForm3

### PART1:Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance ofthismanuscriptforthescientificcommunity.Whydo youlike(ordislike)thismanuscript? Aminimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the titleofthearticle suitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheadition(ordelation)ofsomepointsinthis section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinkthat thismanuscriptisscientificallyrobustandtechnicall y sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required forthis part.</p>		
<p>Are thereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		
<p>MinorREVISIONcomments</p> <p>Is thelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b>comments</p>	<p>ReviewComments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. ClarityandFocus:Whilethepapertacklesanimportantissueregardingnewgraduationconditionsandtheirimpactontheattainmentof"doctorateness,"thecentralthesisandargumentation needtobemoreclearlyarticulated.Theconnectionbetweenthe newgraduationconditions andtheconceptof"doctorateness" is notfully developed.Amorefocusedandcohesiveargument would strengthen the paper.</li> <li>2. LiteratureReview:The literaturereviewprovidesagoodoverviewofexistingresearchondoctoraleducati on,butitcouldbenefitfromadeeperengagementwithmore recentandrelevant studies.Specifically,the paperwouldbeenrichedbyincorporatingliteraturethatdirectlyaddre</li> </ol>	

**ReviewForm3**

	<p>sses the concept of "doctorateness" and how it has been influenced by changing graduation requirements globally.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>3. <b>Theoretical Framework:</b> The paper lacks a strong theoretical framework that could anchor the discussion. Introducing and explicitly outlining a relevant theoretical perspective would provide a better foundation for the arguments presented. Consider drawing on theories of higher education, professional socialization, or educational policy to frame your analysis.</li><li>4. <b>Methodology:</b> If the paper includes empirical research, the methodology section requires significant improvement. The research design, data collection methods, and analysis procedures should be described in greater detail. It is crucial to explain why certain methods were chosen and how they align with the research objectives. If the study is purely theoretical, this should be clarified, and the rationale for a theoretical approach should be provided.</li><li>5. <b>Critical Analysis:</b> The paper needs a more critical and analytical approach to the subject matter. Currently, it reads somewhat descriptively, without enough interrogation of the implications of new graduation conditions on doctoral education. Consider exploring both the positive and negative consequences of these conditions and engaging with potential counterarguments.</li><li>6. <b>Discussion and Implications:</b> The discussion section should be expanded to explore the broader implications of the findings. How do these new graduation conditions affect the quality and nature of doctoral education? What are the potential risks and benefits? Addressing these questions would add depth to the paper and make it more relevant to policymakers and educators.</li><li>7. <b>Conclusion:</b> The conclusion needs to be more than just a summary of the findings. It should offer clear takeaways and recommendations for future research, policy, or practice. Consider suggesting how institutions can balance the need for rigorous graduation requirements with the goal of nurturing "doctorateness."</li></ol> <p>Specific Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>Title and Abstract:</b> The title is intriguing but might benefit from a slight rewording to better reflect the content of the paper. The abstract should more clearly summarize the key arguments, findings, and significance of the study.</li><li>2. <b>Introduction:</b> The introduction is somewhat broad and could be refined to more explicitly state the research problem and objectives. Providing a brief outline of the paper's structure would also help guide the reader.</li><li>3. <b>Conceptual Definition:</b> The paper assumes that readers are familiar with the concept of "doctorateness." It would be helpful to define this term early on and explain how it is operationalized within the context of the study.</li><li>4. <b>Evidence and Examples:</b> Throughout the paper, there are claims that would benefit from strong evidence or more concrete examples. For instance, when discussing the impact of new graduation conditions, it would be useful to reference specific cases or studies that illustrate these effects.</li><li>5. <b>Figures and Tables:</b> If the paper includes any figures or tables, ensure that they are clearly labeled and directly contribute to the argument. All visual aids should be explained in the text and should serve to enhance the reader's understanding of the key points.</li><li>6. <b>Language and Style:</b> The writing is generally clear, but there are instances where the language could be more precise. Avoid jargon where possible, and ensure that key terms are</li></ol>	
--	--	--

ReviewForm3

	<p>msare consistently defined and used throughout the paper.</p> <p>7. References: Thereferencesneedtobeupdatedandexpanded.Ensurethatallsourcescitedinthetexta reincludedinthereferencelistandthatthecitationstyleisconsistentwiththe journal'sguidelines.Additionally,considerincorporatingmorerecentstudiesupportthepaper'sarg uments.</p>	
--	--	--

**ReviewForm3**  
**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kavita Dehalwar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, India</b>