

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_122679
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF PRODUCTION AND MARKETING OF BANANA IN MIDDLE GUJARAT
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study highlights the economic viability of banana cultivation in Gujrat. The study sheds light on the challenges that farmers encounter when cultivating bananas. It helps policymakers take measures to overcome the constraints and encourage the farmers to adopt cultivation in order to improve their socio-economic status.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author can add some more points with respect to the economic feasibility of banana cultivation in the study area. The growth of banana cultivation in Gujarat and India as a whole can be included in the abstract part. Some of the Key words are not relevant to the abstract. Suggestions can also be clearer and more precise.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In the introduction, there are no sentences regarding the status and importance of banana cultivation in the study area. The study negates the objective part. The methodology requires a little bit more attention. The concept's part is quite lengthy. Abbreviations need their extended form for better understanding.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper seems technically sound. The quality of the paper could be improved by better writing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is adequate. But the author can also add some more recent reviews related to banana cultivation.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is not up to the mark. The paper needs some more attention to grammar.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
	The paper contains some grammar issues and spelling mistakes here and there.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Manimalathi
Department, University & Country	Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts and Science, India