

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_118526
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF COOKING CONDITIONS ON COOKING YIELD, JUICINESS, INSTRUMENTAL AND SENSORY TEXTURE OF CHICKEN BREAST MEAT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, this manuscript could be important for the scientific community interested in poultry science and food technology. The study's findings can be used to optimize cooking processes for chicken breast to achieve desired qualities like tenderness, juiciness, and texture while minimizing cooking losses. 2. Yes. 3. Yes. 4. Yes. 5. Yes. 6. No, Some references were found to be outdated and in need of updating. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, Language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. comparing the findings more extensively with previous studies findings. 2. Some statements in the manuscript lacked sufficient citation support. I recommend adding more recent and relevant references. 3. Ensure consistency between numbers in the text and corresponding tables, adhere to International System of Units (SI) throughout the research, and standardize terminology across the entire document. 4. The remaining observations are addressed in the manuscript comments 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nesreen Qurabi
Department, University & Country	Aleppo University, Syria