

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_121693
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Saroglitazar in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients with Moderate to Severe Hypertriglyceridemia: A Single-center, Open-Label, Single-Arm Study
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This scientific manuscript caught my attention because it shows the importance of Saroglitazar_for_the_treatment of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients • The study showed the importance of Saroglitazar_for Hypertriglyceridemia treatment • It is worth noting that the study focused on people with diabetes and suffering from harmful Hypertriglyceridemia 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article is Suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The summary is comprehensive of the scientific manuscript, but please add the age of the patients</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The reference and discussion are not comprehensive on the topic Add BMI instead of height and weight Add the range of duration type 2 diabetes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is critical to the evolution of science and treatment of patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, in which the work of one scientist builds upon that of others. The manuscript is highly readable — that is, clear, accurate, and concise. Other scientists are more likely to cite them because they are helpful rather than cryptic or self-centered.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>The references are insufficient and not in one format, and there is a source that I did not recognize that bears the number 6 6- https://www.who.int/india/health-topics/mobile-technology-for-preventing-ncds#:~:text=Diabetes%20in%20India&text=In%20India%2C%20there%20are%20estimated,developing%20diabetes%20in%20near%20future). Last accessed on 9 May, 2024</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript presented is not original, but there is a lot of research that talks about this topic</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maryam Kadhim Al-Shemery
Department, University & Country	University of Kufa, Iraq