

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_121693
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Saroglitazar in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients with Moderate to Severe Hypertriglyceridemia: A Single-center, Open-Label, Single-Arm Study
Type of the Article	Short communication (this is suggested by the reviewer)

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Due to high prevalence and incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus and metabolic syndrome worldwide, research regarding their management is very important. Use of drugs which positively impact the outcome of multiple variables in metabolic diseases like the one studied in this research should be studied. Population based studies give a picture of the real impact of different therapies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Please consider <u>"Impact of Saroglitazar on the Metabolic profile in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients with Moderate to Severe Hypertriglyceridemia"</u> .	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Every research which is using human data even if done retrospectively must have ethical approval and patient consent.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript in its current form can be published as a short communication. The deficiencies in study design make it inappropriate to be published as an original article.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farhat Bashir
Department, University & Country	United Medical & Dental College, Pakistan