

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_122838
Title of the Manuscript:	Contribution to phytochemical, antioxidant and antibacterial studies of extracts of crushed, sieved and rejected organs of two medicinal plants from Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>Authors presents a well-structured and insightful investigation in this <b>manuscript</b>. The authors successfully emphasize the importance of particle sizes of powder was useful in extraction of medicinal plants used in differnt biological applications.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>Abstract could be refined to enhance clarity and readability. Some sentences are complex and could be simplified to improve comprehension more concise sentences would make the abstract more accessible to a broader audience.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>Some needs improvement at minor level</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Provide more detailed information on the selection criteria and authentication reference number for the medicinal plants used.</li> <li>2) Why these particular plants were chosen, including their known therapeutic properties and traditional uses.</li> <li>3) Give clearer explanation of the exactly used extraction method used along with justification of using hydroethanolic extract instead of ethanolic extract.</li> <li>4) Give detailed information about the meaning of Broyat, Tamisat, and Refus powders along with exact particle sizes would help to substantiate the findings and provide a stronger scientific basis for the conclusions drawn.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p><b>Reference styling is not uniform</b></p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>Check</b> grammatical errors</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>By making above refinements, the paper can more effectively communicate its findings and significance to the field of herbal medicine and science.</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sunil Sadashiv Hindole</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, India</b>