

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_122309
Title of the Manuscript:	Asymmetric Price Transmission in Agricultural Markets: A Case of Chick Pea's in South India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The message that researchers want to convey about the assess the vertical price transmission mechanism between producer and consumer prices of chickpeas in Karnataka for the period from January 2016 to January 2019 using monthly wholesale and retail price data is a very good message to the community. I agree with this kind of writing with the aim of spreading the benefits to all community groups about the food crisis due to price increases of key food commodities resulting from the events of the last two years calls for policy attention. But the way of writing for this study alone needs to be given more systematic attention and organized according to the basis of journal article writing. For example, a good abstract should explain in detail the intro, objectives, methods, findings, implications of the study, suggestions for future research for future researchers and also a summary of the study. However, the abstract of this study needs to be explained in more detail about the research methodology, the specific objectives to be studied, the practical significance of the findings, and how the findings will further increase knowledge about the issue, and the conclusion should be given. special attention should be given in abstract writing because it is the basic key to writing an article.</p> <p>From the point of view of the content as a whole, this researcher needs the layout of the writing so that it is neater and there needs to be an additional literature study, preferably done thematically. The main objectives and research methods used also need to be well explained. The analysis of the study needs to be discussed more clearly in writing the discussion and findings of the study. after the hypothesis analysis is made, it is better if there is an explanation of the data analysis as a whole made to explain all the data that has been obtained with additional alternatives in the form of conclusions and there should also be analytical ideas from the researchers themselves about the data. It should refer to the main objective that is to be done in this study. The data is better done within the last 5 years because it is more accurate with the current world economic situation unless the researcher can justify the trend of monthly wholesale and retail price data is not much different from the last 5 years.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Acceptable title</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The message that the researcher wants to convey is very good. But the way of writing needs to be more systematic and organized according to the basics of journal article writing. A good abstract should explain in detail the intro, objectives, methods, findings, implications of the study, future research recommendations for future researchers and also a summary of the study. However, the abstract of this study needs to be explained in more detail about the research methodology, the specific objectives to be studied, the practical significance of the findings, and how the findings will further increase knowledge about the issue, and the conclusion should be given. special attention should be given in abstract writing because it is the basic key to writing an article.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Technical: Need to make corrections as mentioned above.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Overall, this researcher needs the layout of the writing so that it is neater and there should also be additional literature studies, preferably done thematically. The analysis of the study needs to be discussed more clearly in the writing of the discussion and the findings of the study. The researcher also needs to explain in detail the research method used in the abstract and also in the discussion of this study. It is better if discussion related to decrease in producer prices should be made in a detailed discussion and summarized in the form of paragraph writing and not written in separate important points. Researchers need to be more careful in writing and only discuss this data briefly and concisely in the form of appropriate thematic analysis.</p> <p>This study also needs to emphasize the problem of the crisis faced by the impact of the crisis of lack of</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>production related to the rate of increase and decrease in prices scientifically based on the latest 5-year study data which clearly touches on the problem or crisis that is still being faced until now but in this writing it touches more on research data that has been around for a long time and some may no longer be relevant. It is better to add data from past studies from more recent studies.</p> <p>Justification needs to be given, if the 2016-2019 data is still relevant to be discussed or there may be more or less the same data trend in recent years or there is also a problem of lack of recent data so the researcher prefers to use data that is more than the last 5 years.</p> <p>It should also be added with the support of previous studies, especially from the point of view of research findings on the emergence of a food crisis due to the increase in the price of the main food commodity as a result of the covid-19 crisis and the Russian-Ukraine war before.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>It is better if there are more current references (last 5 years) and make sure each data is accompanied by recent citations.</p>	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the language of the article suitable for scholarly communications. However, it can still be improved from a review of minor technical errors.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>In order to further improve the quality of the article, this writing needs to be corrected according to the comments first before being considered for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nor Adila Binti Mohd Noor
Department, University & Country	UITM, Malaysia