

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_122741
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of Coronary Bifurcation Lesions in the Setting of Acute Myocardial Infarction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript titled "Management of Coronary Bifurcation Lesions in the Setting of Acute Myocardial Infarction" is crucial for the scientific community as it addresses a complex and high-risk scenario in interventional cardiology. By offering insights into effective strategies for treating bifurcation lesions during acute myocardial infarction, it has the potential to improve patient outcomes and guide clinical practice. The findings could refine treatment protocols, enhance procedural success, and ultimately contribute to advancing the field of cardiac care.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The scientific correctness of the manuscript "Management of Coronary Bifurcation Lesions in the Setting of Acute Myocardial Infarction" appears to be solid if it is grounded in rigorous methodologies and up-to-date evidence. The validity of the study's conclusions depends on the robustness of its data analysis, adherence to established protocols, and whether it appropriately addresses potential confounding variables. Additionally, if the manuscript accurately references current guidelines and compares its findings with existing literature, it would further reinforce its scientific credibility and relevance.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes References should be in orderwise.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The topic is highly relevant to current cardiology practices, particularly in improving patient outcomes in emergency settings. The manuscript addresses a critical area of need, providing valuable insights into a challenging aspect of coronary intervention.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K.Manimekalai
Department, University & Country	Sri GVG Visalakshi College for Women, India