

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_123054
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different levels of Phosphorus and Biofertilizers on Yield and Economics of Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors addressed the specific points in the manuscript properly with mirror mistakes and after corrections the manuscript is suitable for a reputed journal like Asian Research Journal of Agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable for this manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Author should discuss about in which treatment they found lowest growth parameters	Like lowest plant height was observed in PSB + Phosphorus 70 kg ha ⁻¹ . Likewise write about other parameters
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The statistical analysis of this manuscript, including critical difference (CD) values, is thoroughly conducted to ensure the validity of the findings. The study also integrates both agronomic and economic parameters, providing a holistic approach that strengthens the relevance of this manuscript	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need to add some latest references, author should add latest references like add the nutrient supplement of wheat with latest references and also add latest reference for productivity	Add at list 2022 reference for productivity
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	References are according to the format of the journal.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sarita
Department, University & Country	RNB Global University, India