

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_122256
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of nanoparticle and essential oil addition on Kappa carragenan-based bionanocomposite films: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It help in formulation of the formulation and advanced drug delivery system.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes its ok.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Also mentioned the importance of kappa carragenan-based bionanocomposite films.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please arrange the reference according the journal policy, and rewrite the conclusion part and also add the all points which was mentioned in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add the latest work in the reference section. Like as how many work was done in 2024, 2023, 2022, Like this. Full fill the gap of the research.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
Optional/General comments	Not any.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roshan Kumar Dubey
Department, University & Country	India