

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_122192
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Consolidation and Institutional Efficiency on the Performance of Insurance Firms: New evidence from Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is hoped to contribute in the insurance industry in the context of Nigerian. The consolidation and institutional efficiency is a burning issue. The role of technical and allocative efficiency in important for insurers performance. The research is backed up by market power theory, synergy theory, free cash flow theory and redistribution theory.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggested Topic: Consolidation, Efficiency, and Performance of Insurance Companies in Nigeria.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add: Research design and sampling methods.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Add: Discussion and Implications of the study.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Fine	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Follow APA 7th Edition for citation and references. Check citation and references properly.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs proof reading.	
Optional/General comments	Tables are not in format. Better to intepret the results rather than just copying the numericals in the analysis part.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nischal Risal
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal