

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_122189</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Profiling the Post-Graduate Students Utilizing E-resources in J.N.K.V.V.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>Review Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Objective Clarity: The study effectively outlines its primary objective, which is to profile postgraduate students' usage of e-resources at J.N.K.V.V., providing a clear framework for the research.</li> <li>2. Methodological Rigor: The research employs a well-structured methodology, utilizing surveys and interviews to gather comprehensive data from the student population, ensuring diverse perspectives are represented.</li> <li>3. Data Analysis: The analysis of the collected data is thorough, employing appropriate statistical tools to interpret the results, which enhances the credibility of the findings.</li> <li>4. Findings Relevance: The findings highlight significant trends in e-resource usage among postgraduate students, indicating preferences and challenges faced, which is crucial for improving library services.</li> <li>5. Recommendations: The study offers practical recommendations based on the findings, suggesting enhancements to e-resource accessibility and user training programs that could benefit the students.</li> <li>6. Literature Review: A robust literature review provides context, grounding the research in existing studies and demonstrating the relevance of the topic within the broader academic landscape.</li> <li>7. Limitations Acknowledgment: The authors acknowledge the limitations of the study, such as sample size and scope, which adds transparency and allows for future research directions.</li> <li>8. Impact on Policy: The insights gained from this study could inform institutional policies regarding resource allocation and support services for postgraduate students, potentially leading to improved academic outcomes.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Phulmanti Nagesia</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Holy Cross Women's College, India</b></p>