

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current International Research Archive
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122824
Manuscript Title:	The Impact of Covid-19 on India's Economy: Pre and Post Pandemic Scenarios
Article Type	Article Reviews

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

The journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected solely on the basis of 'lack **of Novelty**', as long as the manuscript is scientifically sound and technically sound. To find out the full guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer Review Comment Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Mandatory REVISION Comments	Reviewer comments	Author's Feedback (Please proofread the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory for the author to write his feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences about the importance of this manuscript to the scientific community. Why did you like (or dislike) this script? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this section.</p>	<p>It is important for the basis of scientific knowledge that occurs in several countries so that it can be used as a reference in finding solutions to problems that may be the same before or after covid 19. This policy can be a reference in obtaining scientific solutions.</p>	
<p>Is the article title appropriate? (If not, please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>It is appropriate and needs to be added that the research object of the farmer word needs to be included because the farmer questionnaire is the object.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of this article comprehensive? Do you suggest adding (or removing) a few points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Quite comprehensive</p>	
<p>Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Introduction. It has explained in a structured manner the pandemic outbreak and the Indian government's policies in dealing with the outbreak and the literature used to clarify the research object. The impact of Covid 19 on the Indian economy. Quite short and structured. Covid 19 period. The research object is clearly illustrated and the delivery is quite structured. The methodology is sufficient, but it is better to include the formula and table as well as the source to strengthen the science, the conclusion is good. Reference. need to be added from the curriculum and literature.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences about the scientific truth of this text. Why do you think this manuscript is scientifically strong and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this section.</p>	<p>Strong because it uses good literature data and includes it with quantitative theoretical analysis. The accuracy of the results strengthens the research object, the object of the questionnaire research is farmers and farmers should also be included in the title and research results. Healthy because it follows the ethics or mechanism of scientific research methods. The conclusion has outlined the government's policies in tackling pre and post-Covid-19</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and up-to-date? If you have any additional reference suggestions, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References need to be added to scientific journal literature and supporting books to strengthen its science.</p>	
<p><u>Minor Revision</u> Comments</p> <p>Is the language/United Kingdom quality of the article suitable for scientific communication?</p>	<p>Already suitable</p>	
<p><u>Optional comments/General</u></p>	<p>This research has described pre- and post-Covid-19 events as well as policies from the government in overcoming and restoring access to economic activities to increase state revenue and financial circulation within the country and out of the country.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yudiyanto Joko Purnomo
Department, University & Country	Pasim National University, Indonesia