

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122693
Title of the Manuscript:	Dental Trauma in the Northern Region of the State of Ceará
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Traumatic dental injuries occur very frequently in children & can have further repercussions if not treated on time. It is therefore highly important to create awareness regarding this so that policies can be created in order to prevent them completely. The educative measures will also aid in rendering primary care in places where such traumatic injury has occurred in order to reduce the severity of such repercussions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article must be descriptive yet brief enough to convey the exact concept of the study. It should be according to PICO process { population/problem/ patient/intervention/comparison/ outcome} for better impact. Also mention the type of the study eg: an observational study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Kindly reframe the abstract to make it more comprehensive as per the following points – Introduction , aim, objectives, methodology, results, clinical significance. Keywords – use appropriate MeSH terms. The keyword Dental Trauma is not a MeSH keyword	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kindly describe what the short forms in the manuscript stand for 2. The methodology does not mention typically the average age of patients , sample size screened , gender of the patients screened 3. The sample of dental records specifically developed for this study has no where been included in the manuscript 4. How was the statistical analysis done? Which tests were used ? 5. No mention of who screened & tabulated the data ? a dental graduate/ research analyst / nurse / primary health care provider 6. 7. Clinical significance & a take home message for clinicians needs to be highlighted 8. A significant limitation of this study was the incorrect completion of dental records. If this is the case how can this study be considered scientifically robust ? 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Requires major revision as a it is NOT technically & scientifically sound on multiple levels	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References from the year 2023 have not been mentioned in this manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Kindly improve on the grammar	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishnapriya Nene
Department, University & Country	Dr. D.Y.Patil Dental College & Hospital, Dr. D.Y.Patil Vidyapeeth, India