

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JGEESI_122528</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Geographical environment and dynamics of farming systems in the commune of Korsimoro: An integrated approach to optimising farming practices</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript gives a good insight into the dynamics of farming systems in adapting to the changing climate. It considers different biophysical characteristics that can affect the farming system in rainfed agricultural systems. Identifying major biophysical conditions of an area has a crucial role in designing adaptation strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and informative.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is appropriately structured	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust but the result and discussion part is not discussed in detail. The effects of those biophysical conditions/characteristics on the agricultural systems are not well discussed in the manuscript. What are the recommendations derived from this analysis that can minimize the negative effects of these biophysical factors? These points should be reconsidered by the author to make the manuscript scientifically robust and technically sound. I have added some comments to the main manuscript, check them also.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not listed according to the journal's guideline. It should be corrected accordingly. Check whether all the references cited in the text are listed in the reference section and vice versa.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript can be accepted with minor modifications.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abu Tolcha Gari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia</b>