

Effect of elevated level of CO₂ on biological parameters of *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) (Bruchidae: Coleoptera) in stored green gram

ABSTRACT

Green gram is a legume crop that provides essential nutrients and protein, especially in countries with a predominantly vegetarian population like India. However, post-harvest losses due to insect pests, particularly *Callosobruchus chinensis*, significantly affect stored green gram quality and quantity. In this context, an experiment was conducted to study the effect of elevated levels of CO₂ on biology of *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) in stored green gram. The adult beetles were exposed to eight different concentrations of CO₂ viz., 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, and 80 %. The observations on fecundity, incubation period, larval-pupal period, adult emergence and adult longevity were recorded to assess the biology of *C. chinensis*. The results indicated that 80 % CO₂ was the most effective treatment for controlling the pulse beetle, yielding the lowest fecundity (1.20 eggs), adult emergences (1.66 adults), and the shortest adult lifespan (3.50 days). However, no significant differences were observed across the CO₂ treatments for incubation period and larval-pupal periods.

Key words: *Callosobruchus chinensis*, Biology, Modified atmosphere, Carbon dioxide

1. INTRODUCTION

In many developing nations, legumes play a vital role in providing dietary protein. Their cost-effectiveness has led them to describe as "economical protein source". Among the various types of legumes, mung beans (*Vigna radiata*) stand out for their exceptional protein content. These contain approximately 25% protein by weight, making them significantly more protein-dense than most grain crops. In India it is grown with an area, production and productivity of 5.13m ha⁻¹, 3.9 MT and 601 kg ha⁻¹, respectively (India stat, 2020-21). Stored grains, particularly pulses like green gram, face significant threats from various insect pests during storage and the primary pest is *Callosobruchus chinensis*. These pests can lead to substantial economic losses, affecting food security and the livelihoods of farmers. Understanding the importance of managing stored grain pests is crucial for maintaining the quality and safety of pulse crops [1] have reported seed damage levels reaching up to 93.33% in some pulse varieties, indicating severe infestation impacts. Furthermore, [2] investigated that damage caused by *C. chinensis* was particularly severe in green gram, with damage levels reported at 88.44%. Protecting food grains from insect infestation is now a days a challenge in agriculture. The management of pulse beetles, particularly *Callosobruchus chinensis*, has traditionally relied heavily on synthetic insecticides and fumigants. Excessive usage of these chemical pesticides causes a number of adverse consequences, including pest resistance, toxicity to non-target species, and impact to the ecosystem [3]. However, the chemical-based approach has increasingly come under scrutiny due to its numerous drawbacks and potential risks [4].

Continuous use of the same insecticides can lead to the development of resistance in *C. chinensis* populations[5]. This resistance reduces the effectiveness of these chemicals over time, necessitating the use of higher doses or different insecticides, which can further exacerbate environmental and economic issues. Moreover, plant-derived alternatives, though safer, often lack sufficient efficacy and common fumigants like methyl bromide and phosphine, despite their widespread use, pose risks to human health and the environment [6]. In response to these concerns, carbon dioxide has emerged as a promising alternative and it is an environmentally friendly and safe option for grain protection[7] addressing the need for effective pest control methods [8,9]. CO₂ deleteriously affects the normal body functions including digestive, respiratory, circulatory, nervous and hormonal systems of organisms [10]. Molecules of this gas permanently open the spiracles after entered in the insect body through these spiracles [11.] The use of carbon dioxide as control measure in stored grains is gaining traction due to its effectiveness, safety, alignment with organic farming practices and makes it a valuable tool in modern agricultural pest management strategies. Hence, the current study was conducted to understand the effect of different concentrations of CO₂ on the biology of *C. chinensis*.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 MASS CULTURING OF THE TEST INSECT

The mass culturing of *C. chinensis* (L.) (Bruchidae: Coleoptera) was carried out in laboratory of Seed Research and Technology Centre (SRTC), PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. To maintain the stock culture of *C. chinensis*, healthy greengram seed of variety MGG-295 was procured from Telangana State Seed Development Corporation (TSSDC), Nizamabad, Telangana. The mother culture of *C. chinensis* maintained at Seed Entomology Laboratory SRTC, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. For mass culturing about 100 adult beetles were released with the help of aspirator into plastic containers having 1000 g of disinfested greengram seed and the containers were covered with muslin cloth held tightly with rubber bands to allow aeration and this is to allow free flow of air and also to prevent the escape of beetles. Twenty-five containers were maintained for mass culturing of test insect. The containers were kept undisturbed under laboratory conditions (32 ± 1 °C temperature and 75 % relative humidity), till the emergence of F1 adults [12].

2.2 DESIGN OF AIRTIGHT CONTAINER AND INJECTION OF CO₂

To examine the impact of carbon dioxide (CO₂) treatment on *Callosobruchus chinensis*, we developed a custom-designed airtight containers (1L capacity) consist of two perforations with 3 mm diameter which serve as inlet and outlet holes. Nylon tubes of 3 mm diameter were inserted into the holes. 100 grams of thoroughly disinfested green gram seeds were placed individually into each container. This ensured a controlled environment for observing the effects of CO₂ on the target insect species. Prior to introducing carbon dioxide, flushed the existing air from the airtight container by opening the top outlet, then sealed it with a rubber cork. CO₂ was introduced using a cylinder fitted with an outlet tube, nozzle, and needle (Model BRG 0/1) at 2 kg/cm² pressure. Then injected the desired CO₂ concentration through the bottom inlet using a CO₂ cylinder, immediately sealing both inlet and outlet tubes with rubber corks to ensure gas retention within the container.

2.3

DETERMINATION OF CARBON DIOXIDE CONCENTRATION IN AIR TIGHT CONTAINERS AFTER INJECTION AND SUBSEQUENT EXPERIMENT CONDUCTED

To ensure whether the desired concentration of CO₂ released was maintained in the plastic container or not, it was checked by using CO₂/O₂ analyzer (PBI Dansensor, PBI 2006, Denmark). For determination of CO₂, the analyzer was calibrated with atmospheric air (20.9 % O₂ & 0.03 % CO₂) then the needle of the analyzer was introduced into the top outlet tube of the airtight container and the measuring button of the CO₂/O₂ analyzer was pressed. The carbon dioxide concentration present in the airtight container was displayed on screen within 10 seconds.

2.4 Assessment of biological parameters of *C. chinensis*

To study the effect of modified atmosphere with elevated level of CO₂ on *C. chinensis*, twenty-seven airtight containers were filled with 100 g of disinfested green gram seed by releasing ten pairs of freshly emerged (0-24h) *C. chinensis* adults and these beetles are directly exposed to different carbon dioxide treatments viz., 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70 and 80 % with three replications of each treatment. After releasing the desired concentration of carbon dioxide into the containers they were made airtight by plugging them with rubber corks and sealed with Teflon tape. Control was maintained by following the same procedure in plastic containers under normal laboratory conditions without exposing the seed to CO₂ concentrations. After exposure to various concentrations of CO₂, for three hours, the *C. chinensis* adults were removed and placed in plastic jars containing disinfested healthy seed. Ten specimen tubes were taken for each replication of the treatment and one grain with freshly laid eggs of *C. chinensis* was placed in tube and plugged with cotton. The data on incubation period (days), Larval-pupal period (days), adult emergence (Number) (total number of adults emerged from 45th days after treatment to till the beetles cease to emerge from seeds), adult longevity (days) and fecundity were recorded [13]. The statistical methods described by [14] were adopted in the present investigation. The data were subjected to square root and angular transformation values wherever necessary and analysed by completely randomized design (CRD) and factorial completely randomized design (FCRD) as suggested by [15]. OPSTAT software was used for statistical analysis of the data.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. EFFECT OF ELEVATED LEVELS OF CO₂ ON FECUNDITY

As observed from the Table 1 it was evident that all the CO₂ treatments were found to be significantly superior in reducing the fecundity of *C. chinensis* over the untreated control. Significantly lowest mean number of eggs 1.20 was recorded at 80% CO₂ concentration, followed by 70 % CO₂ and 60 % CO₂ in which 3.33 and 3.40 eggs were recorded, which were on par with each other. However, the mean number of eggs at 50 %, 40 %, 30 %, 20 % and 10% CO₂ concentrations were observed as 4.86, 6.46, 8.13, 8.20 and 11.13, respectively. Significantly highest mean number of eggs were observed in untreated control, which resulted in 17.46 eggs. The findings are consistent with previous research where in fecundity of *C. chinensis* decreased with increasing CO₂ concentration [16]. Similarly, [17] found that eggs production in *Rhyzopertha dominica* was reduced as CO₂ concentration increased, due to decrease in respiration rate. Exposure of Indian meal moth, *Plodia interpunctella* to an atmosphere with 96 % CO₂ significantly

reduced both egg production and egg viability [18]. Additionally, [19] noted that elevated CO₂ levels cause prolonged spiracle opening, leading to desiccation and mortality.

Table 1. Effect of elevated levels of CO₂ on biology of *Callosobruchus chinensis*

CO ₂ Concentration (%)	Incubation period (days)	Larval-pupal period (days)	Adult emergence (days)	Fecundity (eggs/female)
10	3.83 (2.19)	26.10(5.20)	25.33 (5.13)	11.13 (3.48)
20	4.16 (2.27)	26.41(5.23)	25.00 (5.09)	8.20 (3.03)
30	4.00 (2.23)	25.16 (5.11)	14.00 (3.87)	8.13 (3.02)
40	4.00 (2.23)	27.16 (5.30)	11.33 (3.50)	6.46 (2.73)
50	4.13 (2.66)	25.86 (5.18)	9.66 (3.26)	4.86 (2.42)
60	3.83 (2.19)	27.03 (5.29)	4.33 (2.30)	3.40 (2.09)
70	3.93 (2.22)	27.03 (5.29)	4.00 (2.22)	3.33 (2.08)
80	4.33 (2.30)	26.33(5.22)	1.66 (1.60)	1.20 (1.48)
Untreated Control	4.10 (2.25)	24.66 (5.06)	37.00 (6.16)	17.46 (4.29)
CD (p=0.05)	N. S	N. S	0.34	0.18
CV (%)	2.98	1.33	4.78	3.08

The values in parentheses are transformed values

3.2. EFFECT OF ELEVATED LEVELS OF CO₂ ON EGG INCUBATION PERIOD AND LARVAL-PUPAL PERIOD

The incubation period and larval-pupal period of *C. chinensis* varied between 3.83 to 4.33 days and 24.66 to 27.16 days, respectively, across different treatments with varying CO₂ concentrations in treated seeds. However, no significant difference was observed among the treatments in both the cases. The shortest and longest incubation periods, 3.83 and 4.33 days, were observed at 10 %, 60 % and 80% CO₂ concentrations (Table 1). Furthermore, the shortest larval-pupal period of 24.66 days was observed in the untreated control, while the longest period of 27.16 days was recorded at a 40% CO₂ concentration. The larval-pupal period was found to follow the normal ranges as similar in untreated control. Since, *C. chinensis* is an internal feeder and the developmental of larval-pupal period probably occurs inside the grain and is protected from any CO₂ concentrations. Similar findings reported by [20], with increase in CO₂ concentration at 350, 400 and 450 ppm, egg incubation and larval-pupal period of *Spodoptera litura* decreased at all temperatures.

3.3. EFFECT OF ELEVATED LEVELS OF CO₂ ON ADULT EMERGENCE


Adult emergence was significantly reduced with minimum mean number of adults 1.66 emerged in seeds treated with 80 % CO₂ concentration followed by 70 % CO₂ with 4.00 adults which was on par with 60 % CO₂ 4.33 adults. However, 9.66, 11.33, 14.00, 25.00 and 25.33 adults in seeds treated with CO₂ concentrations at 50%, 40%, 30 %, 20 % and 10 % CO₂ concentration (Table 1). All the CO₂ treatments were found to be superior over the untreated control which recorded highest 37.00 adults. Studies conducted by [21] revealed that at 20% CO₂ concentration highest mean number of adult emergences was recorded and it decreased with increase in CO₂ concentration till 80 %. Earlier, [22] recorded no adult emergence of *Sitophilus zeamais* and *Sitophilus oryzae* at 90% CO₂ concentration. [23] observed the sub lethal effects of carbon dioxide against *Stegobium paniceum* and *Lasioderma serricornis* and they reported that treatment of adults with 30% and above CO₂ concentrations caused a significant reduction in progeny production

and adverse effects on the multiplication potential of the survivors. Similarly, the increase in carbon dioxide concentration caused decrease in the adult emergence in many insects as reported by [4,24,25,26]. Increase in carbon dioxide concentration caused decrease in the adult emergence of pulse beetle, *C. chinensis* it may be due to cell and mitochondrial membrane to become more permeable to cell damage and decrease in ATP production which ultimately effect on adult emergence.

3.4. EFFECT OF ELEVATED LEVELS OF CO₂ ON ADULT LONGEVITY

The longevity of adults ranged from 3.50 to 7.73 days in seeds exposed to with different concentrations of CO₂ treatments. The CO₂ concentration at 80 % resulted in lowest lifespan of about 3.50 days. Adult longevity of 4.33, 5.50 and 6.20 days were observed at 70 %, 60% and 50 % CO₂ concentrations, respectively. Adult longevity of 6.50 days was observed at 40 % CO₂, which was on par with 30 % CO₂ 6.53 days followed by 20 % CO₂ which resulted 7.00 days. However, highest adult life span of 7.66 days was observed in untreated control which was on par with 10 % CO₂ i.e. 7.73 days, the treatments viz., 10 % CO₂ and untreated control were found to be least effective in reducing adult lifespan (Fig.1) The results are in accordance with [11], who reported 12.00- 25.00 % of *C. chinensis* adults survived two to three days when exposed to 20 % CO₂ concentration in stored red gram. Most insects are more easily killed with higher carbon dioxide concentrations [27]. Similarly, [28] revealed that complete mortality of adults within five days at 40% CO₂ concentration on lesser grain borer, *R. dominica* [29], observed percent mortality within six days when developmental stages of laboratory strains of *Trogoderma granarium* exposed to 60 % CO₂ concentration. The increase in carbon dioxide concentration reduced the adult life span which may be due to damage of wax coat on the insect cuticle by sorption and abrasion and may also be due to internal physiological effects.

Figure 1. Effect of elevated levels of CO₂ concentrations on adult longevity (days) of pulse beetle *C. chinensis*



4. CONCLUSION

The research clearly shows that increasing CO₂ levels, particularly at 80% concentration, substantially reduces the reproductive capacity and survival of *C. chinensis*. This is evidenced by the marked decrease in fecundity, adult emergence and adult longevity observed at higher CO₂ levels. These studies help to bridge the gap between scientific research and practical application in agriculture and food storage, ultimately contributing to more efficient and environmentally friendly pest management strategies. Future research could focus on optimizing the application of this method in various storage conditions and exploring its effectiveness against other stored grain pests.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmad, S., Haque, A., & Mahmud, H. Effect of pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* L on oviposition and damage in some important genotypes of pulse crops in Bangladesh. Biomedical Journal. 2018;2(5):20.
2. Chauhan, R., Meena, R.S., & Singh, P.S. Estimation of losses caused by pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) in stored mung bean seed. Journal of Experimental Zoology 2022;25(2):2013-2017.
3. Okonkwo, E.U., & Okoye, W.I. The efficacy of four seed powders and the essential oils as protectants of cowpea and maize grains against infestation by *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fabricus) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) and *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motschulsky) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in Nigeria. International Journal of Pest Management. 1996;42(3):143-146.
4. Mohamed, H.Y., Sayeda, A.S., Mohsen A., & Mahrous, A.G. Susceptibility of different life stages of saw-toothed grain beetle, *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (L.) (Coleoptera: Silvanidae) to modified atmospheres enriched with carbon dioxide. Journal of Stored Products Research. 2012:46-51.
5. Flora, G., Gupta, D., & Tiwari, A. 2006. Toxicity of pesticides in human. Journal of Environmental Biology, 27(2), 263-268.
6. Nayak, M.K., Daglish, G.J., Phillips, T.W., & Ebert, P.R. Resistance to the fumigant phosphine and its management in insect pests of stored products: A global perspective. Annual Review of Entomology. 2020; 65:333-350.
7. Bera, A., Sinha, S.N., Ashok Gaur, & Srivastava, C. Effect of carbon dioxide rich atmosphere on seed quality parameters of paddy. Seed Research. 2008;36(1):56-63.

8. Bourne-Murrieta, L. R., Iturralde-García, R. D., Wong-Corral, F. J., Castane, C., & Riudavets, J. Effect of packaging chickpeas with CO₂ modified atmospheres on mortality of *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Journal of Stored Products Research*. 2021; 94:101894.
9. Krishnaveni, M., Vijayalakshmi, K., Mandali, R., & Radhika, P. Efficacy of modified atmosphere with elevated CO₂ on pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* in Redgram. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2020;8(4):610-614.
10. Nicolas, G., & Sillans, D. Immediate and latent effects of carbon dioxide on insects. *Annual Review of Entomology*. 1989; 34:97-116.
11. Jay, E.G., Arbogast, R.T., & Pearman, G.C. Jr. Relative humidity: its importance in the control of stored-product insects with modified atmospheric gas concentrations. *Journal of Stored Product Research*. 1971; 6:325-329.
12. Andrewartha, H.G. Introduction to the study of animal populations. Chapman and Hall Ltd. 1961:261-262.
13. Bajjiya, R.S., Bhargava, M.C., & Singh, S. Relative susceptibility of some mung bean, *Vigna radiata* varieties to *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Linnaeus) during storage. *Journal of Insect Science*. 2011;24(2):112-116.
14. Snedecor, M.E., & Cochran, T.S. Statistical methods. Calcutta: Oxford and IBH. 1967.
15. Panse, V.G., & Sukhatme, P.V. Statistical methods for Agricultural workers. 1978.
16. Krishnaveni, M. Effect of modified atmosphere with CO₂ and fabric treatment with new insecticide molecules against *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. in stored pigeonpea. M. Sc. (Ag) Thesis. Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India. 2012.
17. Emekci, M., Navarro, S., Donahaye, E., Rindner, M., & Azrieli, A. Respiration of *Rhyzoperthadominica* (F.) at reduced oxygen concentrations. *Journal of Stored Product Research*. 2004; 40:27-38.
18. Press, J.W., & Flaherty, B.R. Reduction of fecundity and egg hatch in three stored product Phycitid moths after repetitive sub lethal carbon dioxide exposures. *Environmental Entomology*. 1973;2(1):147-148.
19. Bursell, E. Environmental aspects - humidity. In: Rockstein, M., ed. *The Physiology of Insecta*. 1974;12(2):43-84.
20. Tejender Singh, B., & Sharma, S. Influence of temperature and carbon dioxide levels on growth and development of *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) on cauliflower. *Journal of Agrometeorology*. 2017;19(4):306-311.
21. Carli, M.D., Bruna, B., Norena, C.P.Z., Irineu, L., & Adriano, B. Efficacy of modified atmosphere packaging to control *Sitophilus spp.* in organic maize grain. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*. 2010;53(6):1469-1476.
22. Carvalho, M.O., Pires, I., Barbosa, A., Barros, G., Riudavets, J., Garcia, A.C., Brites, C., & Navarro, S. The use of modified atmospheres to control *Sitophilus zeamais* and *Sitophilus oryzae* on stored rice in Portugal. *Journal of Stored Products Research*. 2012; 50:49-56.
23. Gunasekaran, N., & Rajendran, S. Toxicity of carbon dioxide to drug store beetle *Stegobium paniceum* and cigarette beetle *Lasioderma serricorne*. *Journal of Stored Products Research*. 2005;41(3):283-294.

24. Spratt, E.C. Some effect of mixture of oxygen, carbon dioxide and nitrogen in the ratio of 1:1:8 on the oviposition and development of *Sitophilus zeamais*. Journal of Stored Products Research. 1979; 15:73-80.
25. Jayashree, M., Naganagouda, A., Kapasi, M., Sreenivas, A.G., Nidoni, U., & Baskar, K. Management of *Rhyzoperthadominica* under modified atmospheric condition in stored sorghum. Signpost Open Access Journal of Entomological Studies. 2013; 2:34-43.
26. Divya, P., Durga, K.K., Sunil, N., Rajasri, M., Keshavulu, K., & Udayababu, P. Modified atmosphere storage technique for the management of pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) in Horsegram. Legume Research. 2016;39(3):474-478.
27. Jay, E.G. Imperfections in our current knowledge of insect biology as related to their responses to controlled atmospheres. In: Ripp, B.E., ed. Controlled atmosphere and fumigation in grain storages. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 1984:493-508.
28. Manojkumar, T.S., Sreenarayanan, V.V., Mohan, S., & Kailappan, R. Effect of controlled atmosphere storage on adults and immature stages of *Rhizopertha dominica* (Fab.). Journal of Agricultural Engineering. 2012;49(1):28-35.
29. Spratt, E., Dignan, G., & Banks, H.J. The effects of high concentrations of carbon dioxide in air on *Trogoderma granarium* Everts (Coleoptera: Dermestidae). Journal of Stored Product Research. 1985; 21:41-46.

UNDER PEER REVIEW