

**Review Form 3**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JAMMR_122962</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Evaluation of efficacy of low Fermentable Oligosaccharides, Disaccharides, Monosaccharides, and Polyols (FODMAP) diet in management of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS): An updated Meta-Analysis of RCT's</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | I think this manuscript has some importance to the public health sector because it tried to justify the influence of low fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides, and polyols (FODMAP) diet on the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. This study used meta-analysis techniques to summarize the findings of multiple research articles in this regard. |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  | Yes, the title looks appropriate but authors should avoid using abbreviations only like "RCT".  |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | Yes, the abstract looks comprehensive but authors should explain what each abbreviation means. Also, authors should mention a brief summary for the statistical findings of this meta-analysis study.   |   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Yes, the manuscript seems to have the right structure but it still missing keywords section.  |   |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Scientifically, the manuscript seems to be correct. Authors tried to summarize the findings of multiple studies by using meta-analysis approach.  |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | The references used in this study are sufficient but not all are recent. Moreover, the used references are not in the right sequence.   |   |
| Minor REVISION comments   |   |   |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | Some mistakes in punctuations should be corrected.  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

**PART 2:**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> |  |

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar</b>          |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq</b> |