

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122703
Title of the Manuscript:	Compatibility Assessment of Native Non-Rhizospheric Trichoderma Isolates with Various Fungicides
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I have thoroughly reviewed the article entitled "Compatibility Assessment of Native Non-Rhizospheric Trichoderma Isolates with Various Fungicides" This manuscript presents research on an good topic in agricultural science that contributes to our knowledge of between biocontrol fungi and chemical fungicides Compatibility strategies. Overall, the study is well-executed, and the results may be useful to the agricultural community. However, I have a few comments and suggestions to improve the manuscript's quality and clarity. The manuscript's overall structure is sound, but I recommend revisiting the clarity of some sections, particularly the methods and results. Ensuring the methodology is well-detailed and the results are presented plainly will aid the reader in comprehending the experimental procedures and results. The list of references in the manuscript should be checked for completeness and accuracy. Please! confirm the inclusion of all pertinent and recent studies pertaining to this topic</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Compatibility Assessment of some Native Non-Rhizospheric Trichoderma Isolates with Various Fungicides</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>What is the unit of measure for zone inhibition</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>tables are essential for effectively communicating the research findings</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I dont think that this manuscript is scientifically robust because not contain recent references in but technically sound somewhat</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Most the references not recent, and 50% of the references are forger just in list of references. Please Correct them, and add more recent reference</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Its good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Waleed Khalid Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Tikrit University, Iraq