

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122703
Title of the Manuscript:	Compatibility Assessment of Native Non-Rhizospheric Trichoderma Isolates with Various Fungicides
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, this work is helpful for the scientific community regarding the compatible nature of various fungicides with the biocontrol agent <i>Trichoderma</i> . One can also apply this as a management activity under field conditions by referring to this. The thoughtful selection of chemicals further enhances the applicability of this research in real-world agricultural practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the abstract is clear and concise about results and it is up-to point	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. the manuscript is well-structured, allowing for clear communication of the research objectives, methods, results, and implications.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	No scientific corrections were needed, and the methodology and protocols followed were correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some of the references are in the range of 1980-85. Please try to add the maximum number of recent references Some of the references that I found were irrelevant like works related to viruses.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Make sure to use past tenses for experiments that have already been done. The English used needs to be improved formally.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chaitra G V
Department, University & Country	ICAR-NBPGR, India