

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122430
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Variability and Character Relationships for Seed Yield in Black Gram [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper]
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article is really good attempt to hybridization followed by four generation of selection and handling of large populations in a self pollinated crop. It provides insightful new findings to scientific community and hope to farmers for new varieties in black gram (which is very limited). Moreover, research in the crop is less attempted so it will be interesting read for readers.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Advisable to reframe it as study is in F4 generation. Include "F4 generation/progenies" in title.</p> <p>"Variability and Character Relationships in F4 progenies for Seed Yield in Black Gram [<i>Vigna mungo</i> (L.) Hepper]"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Add 1-2 lines about "black gram" utility and importance - Remove or reframe first sentence (highlighted yellow) - Add materials and methods briefly in one line (i.e. number of progenies evaluated, exp. Design, etc...), no need to mention location in abstract. Then continue current abstract..... -Add practical utility and importance of findings in one line <p>Abstract words limit: 200-230 words</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, appropriately written and well in format.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article is a attempt to hybridization in self pollinated crop is always a interesting read as success rate of getting next generation seeds is low. Moreover, this article gives insight into 100 progenies selected and forwarded to fourth generation through selection. It is supported with sound scientific studies and authors of reputed researching on same crop or species.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, sufficient and recent. Kindly check and verify reference format as per recent volume of the journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, Suitable and easy to understand.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>General Advise: write abstract and conclusions in a interesting manner as it puts first impression on readers to read full article. See highlighted part and write accordingly.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chetariya C. P.
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India