

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_122121
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Acinetobacter baumannii in Diyala governorate from Different Clinical Source
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The information and research of this manuscript seems low. Additional research needed to be done.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title should be made for specific	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not comprehensive and need more keywords	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not so appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript should be revised with detailed and additional informations and discussions	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More recent related references should be added.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Should be revised.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Make title bit more specific 2. Elaborate the introduction with more informations 3. Elaborate the materials and methods in details of every activities. 4. In Abstract 2 hospital name but in result sample collected from 4 bagdad hospitals, Justify. 5. In Abstract 23 antibiotics but in methods 22 antibiotics. Justify. 6. List the samples as OPD pts and ward Pts; also include names of different wards. 7. Table 1 and Figure 1; Table 2 and Figure 2 have same information so either one. 8. Piperacillin sensitivity 6% but Piperacillin tazobactam sensitivity only 3%, how possible? 9. Figure 3 about Imipenem from 2014 to 2024; and figure 4 about colistin how result derived as authors have mentioned sample collection from January to July 2024. 10. Authors study shows all drug resistant are higher(>50%) not lesser. 88.8% is not lesser. 11. Look for MDR, MAR index, ESBL, MBL, AmpC betalactamase, carbapenemase, other drug resistance of fluroquinolones, aminoglycosides etc. 12. Look for genes associated with drug resistance 13. Look for associated factors, Biofilm production etc 14. Apply stastical software for the significance of the study. 15. Then discuss all the results with more and more national and international published articles. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Ram Krishna Shrestha</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Pokhara University, Nepal</p>