

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_122121
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Acinetobacter baumannii in Diyala governorate from Different Clinical Source
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The problem addressed by the research is very thoughtful - The researcher did not find a solution to this problem. - There is no additional useful work 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest adding to the end of title:sources and its Antibiotics profile analysis	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive with minor corrections <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It must be add the diagnosis technique (vitek-2)apparatus. - Combine the percentage of urine, csf and burns in the same sentence , because they have the same No. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The researcher must add the aim of study at the end of introduction - Determine the government that collect the sample, in the abstract from Baquba, in the material from Baghdad ! - Fig. 1 and fig 2 are additional (no need); fig. 3 and 4 are vague 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Very simple manuscript - The manuscript is not enough strong - The manuscript dose not contain additional experiments or even a resolution for the problem of the resistant the bacterial against the antibiotics. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	1- the researcher did not follow the style of journal. 2- The number of references in the manuscript is excessively large and can be reduced by half. It also lacks sufficient recent references, with the proportion of recent sources being 24% only. 3- I suggest the local recent research to addition. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2020.17.3.0726	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some paragraphs need to be reviewed by specialist of English language... especially in the beginning of discussion.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wherever the scientific name of the bacteria appears, it should be written in italics. - The researcher must discuss the higher percentage of isolation i.e. in blood, because it was the higher isolation but in the manuscript was the lowest discussion. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ghada A. Mohammad
Department, University & Country	University of Mosul, Iraq