

**Review Form 3**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJPSS_122997</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Character association studies for yield attributing traits across different seasons in pearl millet (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i> (L.) R. Br)</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Research Paper</b>   |

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | This article linked with character association of yield-contributing traits in pearl millet so the line showing high yield attributing traits may be used in a breeding program to develop a new variety.<br>I like it because the production and productivity of this Nutri-cereal is very low in the world as well as in India and the scientific manuscript will lead to the improvement of such an unusual crop. |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)   | Suitable   |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. No suggestion.  |   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Yes  |   |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript is scientifically correct since based on real data and all the tables and diagrams are very well mentioned in this manuscript. The written content and value of the tables are well-matched.   |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | Yes, sufficient and recent. Kindly add some more references  |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  |  |   |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | Yes  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | Good manuscript.<br>Add some more references   |   |

**PART 2:**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Abhishek Singh</b>          |
| Department, University & Country | <b>C.C.R.D. College, India</b> |