

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_122843
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological quality of local variety sesame grown in Chad
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is recommended regarding the food safety to the public	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable but may be improved. Think on this title "Analysis of Microbial load in sesame local variety grown in Chad"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically satisfied but some more additions may be done as added in the comments to build the article sound and strenghty.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference are not in alaphabetical order. The editorial committee has to take the decision on the reference format. If the format is acceptable, it may be forwarded	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Introduction may be elaborated in the application part of the article to the food industry ➤ Suggested to check, whether the sample variety at both the areas are uniform. If not mention the variety names. ➤ The temperatures at which the mentioned microbes can with stand the heat and perished may be mentioned. This information may be useful to the food industry to have hygenic food. ➤ Permissable limits of the mentioned microbes in food may be given, which strengthes the article ➤ Moisture content of the samples at the time of analysis of micro-organisms may be correlated may be done in future works, which gives good results. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. B. M. Sirisha
Department, University & Country	Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, India