

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_122926
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro anti-Plasmodium activity of combined extracts from Toddalia Asiatica and Carica papaya.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this study two plants used for anti-plasmodial activity for the treatment of malaria Traditional healthcare users' practice and usage of mixed medicinal plant extracts in the therapy of malaria is remotely explained by the improved efficacy of combinations of plants extracts in this study. The shift in <i>Toddalia asiatica</i> 's IC50 indicates that adding Carica papaya to <i>Toddalia asiatica</i> generally seems to increase its potency across most concentrations. For Carica, on the other hand, the opposite is true: the best outcomes were obtained when larger ratios of Carica papaya extract were combined with lower concentrations of <i>Toddalia</i> extract	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Enhanced Anti-Plasmodium Activity Through Combined Extracts of Toddalia Asiatica and Carica Papaya or Anti-Plasmodium Potential of Toddalia Asiatica and Carica Papaya: In Vitro Synergistic Effects	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes , its good study for traditional healthcare system for the treatment of Malaria	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript will be well defined by Qualitative phytochemical analysis of the plant extracts, Anti-plasmodial activity of single plant extract and combination studies of both the plants.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Overall good manuscript as a traditional medicine for the treatment of malaria	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dinesh Kumar
Department, University & Country	Desh Bhagat University, India